

**P.2.14.16 Neuron-specific enolase**

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
<b>SECONDARY CARE</b>												
2 studies (Bahl 2008; Beudry 1998)	2 × prospective	295	0.74 (0.65, 0.82)	0.90 (0.85, 0.94)	LR+	8.00 (5.05, 12.69)	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	-	MODERATE
					LR-	0.28 (0.20, 0.40)	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious		MODERATE
<b>Notes on risk of bias</b>												
Beudry 1998: Optimised test cut-offs were used and it was unclear whether: a consecutive or random sample of patients was enrolled or inappropriate exclusions avoided; the index test results were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard or the reference standard results were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test.												
Bahl 2008: Exclusion of possible CJD group from index tests may inflate test sensitivity												