

P.2.14.17 New criteria for sporadic CJD

| Studies | Design | Total N | Sens (95%CI) | Spec (95%CI) | Measure | Summary of findings (95%CI) | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Quality |
|--|---------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| SECONDARY CARE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 study (Zerr 2009) | Retrospective | 74 | 0.98 (0.87, 1.00) | 0.71 (0.50, 0.85) | LR+ | 3.36 (1.80, 6.28) | V. serious | n/a | Not serious | Serious | - | VERY LOW |
| | | | | | LR- | 0.03 (0.00, 0.20) | V. serious | n/a | Not serious | Not serious | | LOW |
| Notes on risk of bias | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zerr 2009: Unclear whether patients were selected randomly or consecutively or whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the optimal index test thresholds were determined during the study and a subgroup analysis was used to determine test sensitivity and specificity. | | | | | | | | | | | | |