

P.2.14.25 WHO CJD criteria

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
SECONDARY CARE												
2 studies (Heath 2010; Zerr 2009)	2 × retrospective	306	0.90 (0.85, 0.94)	0.71 (0.61, 0.79)	LR+	3.14 (2.29, 4.30)	V. serious	Not serious	Serious	Not serious	-	VERY LOW
					LR-	0.14 (0.09, 0.21)	V. serious	Not serious	Serious	Not serious		VERY LOW
<p>Notes on risk of bias Zerr 2009: Unclear whether patients were selected randomly or consecutively or whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the optimal index test thresholds were determined during the study and a subgroup analysis was used to determine test sensitivity and specificity. Heath 2010: It was unclear whether the index test was interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference test; whether a consecutive or random sample of patients was enrolled or inappropriate exclusions were avoided.</p> <p>Notes on indirectness Heath 2010: Mean age at onset < 40 years old</p>												