

**P.2.20.3 FTD versus DLB**

**P.2.20.4 FDG-PET**

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
<b>SECONDARY CARE</b>												
1 study (Ossenkoppele 2013)	Prospective	23	0.34 (0.17, 0.57)	0.92 (0.38, 0.99)	LR+	4.11 (0.27, 62.70)	V. serious	n/a	Serious	V. serious	-	VERY LOW
					LR-	0.72 (0.48, 1.08)	V. serious	n/a	Serious	Serious		VERY LOW
<p><b>Notes on risk of bias</b> Ossenkoppele 2013: It is unclear whether a consecutive or random sample of patients was enrolled and whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the index test was interpreted with knowledge of the reference diagnosis; a subgroup analysis was used where &gt;10% study population was excluded.</p> <p><b>Notes on indirectness</b> Ossenkoppele 2013: It is unclear whether the LeARN cohort consisted of people with suspected cognitive impairment.</p>												