

P.2.23 FTD versus non-FTD

P.2.23.1 99mTc-ECD SPECT, visual assessment

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
MULTIPLE CAMERA												
1 study (Tripathi 2010)	Prospective	117	0.96 (0.78, 0.99)	0.99 (0.93, 1.00)	LR+	86.67 (12.32, 609.43)	Serious	n/a	Not serious	Not serious	-	MODERATE
					LR-	0.04 (0.01, 0.26)	Serious	n/a	Not serious	Not serious		MODERATE
Notes on risk of bias												
Tripathi 2010: 14% of participants were lost to follow up and did not receive a reference standard; it is unclear whether the index test was interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard.												