Table 20: Clinical evidence profile: levodopa versus placebo

Quality assessment							Nº of patients I		Effect	Effect		
Nº of studie s	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistenc y	Indirectnes s	Imprecision	Other consideration s	Levodopa	placebo	Relativ e (95% CI)	Absolut e (95% CI)	Quality	Importance
HRQoL - not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL
Dystonia - not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL
Satisfaction - not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL
Change in motor function from pre-treatment (follow up: 2 weeks; assessed with: QUEST score; Scale from: 0 to 100)												
1	randomis ed trials	seriou s ¹	not serious	not serious	serious ²	none	9	9	-	MD 5.92 % higher (1.72 lower to 13.56 higher)	LOW	CRITICAL
Advers	e events											
1	randomis ed trials	very seriou s ^{1,3}	not serious	not serious	serious ²	none	No adverse events reported ⁴			VERY LOW	IMPORTA NT	
Goal attainment scores - not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	IMPORTA NT

FINAL

Management of abnormal muscle tone in adults aged 19 and over with cerebral palsy

Quality assessment						№ of patients		Effect				
№ of studie s	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistenc y	Indirectnes s	Imprecision	Other consideration s	Levodopa	placebo	Relativ e (95% CI)	Absolut e (95% CI)	Quality	Importance
Pain - n	ot reported											
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	IMPORTA NT

CI: confidence interval; HRQoL: health related quality of life; MD: mean difference

¹ Unclear randomisation method

^{2.} Confidence interval for effect includes one default MID threshold

^{3.} Adverse events were not systematically monitored.

^{4.} No events reported