Comparison: Universal newborn screening for abnormalities of the eye compared with no screening

Source: Malik ANJ, Evans JR, Gupta S, Mariotti S, Gordon I, Bowman R, et al. Universal newborn eye screening: a systematic review of the literature and review of international guidelines (submitted).

	Certainty assessment							Nº of patients		Effect		
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Universal eye screening	No screening	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty (GRADE)	Importance
Proportion	Proportion of newborns with congenital cataract referred from maternity wards or well-baby clinics in the first year after birth – maternity ward screening compared with no screening											
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	49/328 523 (0.0%)	1/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 9.83 (1.36 to 71.20)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 1 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL
Proportion of newborns with congenital cataract referred from maternity wards or well-baby clinics in the first year after birth – well-baby clinic screening compared with no screening												
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^{b,c}	none	15/149 432 (0.0%)	1/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 6.62 (0.87 to 50.09)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 1 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Proportion	Proportion of newborns with congenital cataract referred from any health facility* in the first year after birth – maternity ward screening											
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	serious ^b	none	61/328 523 (0.0%)	10/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 1.22 (0.63 to 2.39)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Proportion	Proportion of newborns with congenital cataract referred from any health facility* in the first year after birth – well-baby clinic screening											
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	serious ^b	none	22/149 432 (0.0%)	10/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 0.97 (0.46 to 2.05)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Proportion	Proportion of newborns with congenital cataract referred within 6 weeks (42 days) of birth – maternity ward screening											
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	46/328 325 (0.0%)	2/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 4.61 (1.12 to 19.01)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 1 more)	⊕⊕⊖⊝ LOW	CRITICAL

Certainty assessment							Nº of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Universal eye screening	No screening	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty (GRADE)	Importance
Proportion	of newborns w	ith congenita	l cataract referred	within 6 weeks (42 days) of birth	– well-baby clinic so	reening					
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious b,c	none	9/149 432 (0.0%)	2/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 1.98 (0.43 to 9.19)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Proportion	of newborns w	ith congenita	l cataract operated	d on within 6 wee	eks (42 days) of b	irth – maternity wa	rd screening					
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	41/328 523 (0.0%)	1/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 8.23 (1.13 to 59.80)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 1 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL
Proportion	of newborns w	ith congenita	l cataract operated	d on within 6 wee	eks (42 days) of b	irth – well-baby clin	ic screening					
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^{b,c}	none	9/149 432 (0.0%)	1/65 915 (0.0%)	RR 3.97 (0.50 to 31.33)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse et	fects associated	with screeni	ng (red reflex testi	ng) – clinical conj	unctivitis				•			•
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	219/9338 (2.3%)	201/9532 (2.1%)	OR 1.22 (1.01 to 1.47)	5 more per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 10 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse ef	fects associated	with screeni	ng (red reflex testi	ng) – bacterial co	njunctivitis							
1	observational studies	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	serious ^b	none	40/9338 (0.4%)	33/9532 (0.3%)	OR 1.20 (0.76 to 1.90)	1 more per 1000 (from 1 fewer to 3 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

^{*} includes maternity wards, well-baby clinics, paediatric clinics and others. Note: these analyses use additional data provided by the authors, as the publications did not give an adequate breakdown of the numbers.

a. The pooled effect provided by study "C".

b. Wide confidence interval crossing the line of no effect.

c. Less than 30 events.