

## E.1.1.6 Comparison 6: Low dose HC [0.2-0.3 mg/kg] vs High dose HC [0.4-0.6 mg/kg]

Figure 32: Systolic BP (lower is better)



## Figure 33: Diastolic BP (lower is better)



## Figure 34: BMI (lower is better)




Figure 35: Number of patients with impaired memory: immediate memory (lower is better)




Figure 36: Number of patients with impaired memory: short-term memory (lower is better)




Figure 37: Number of patients with impaired memory: delayed memory (lower is better)




Figure 38: Number of patients with impaired memory: recognition (lower is better)




Figure 39: Number of patients with impaired attention: divided attention errors (lower is better)




Figure 40: Number of patients with impaired attention: visual scanning errors (lower is better)




Figure 41: Number of patients with impaired executive function: fluency (lower is better)




Figure 42: Number of patients with impaired executive function: working memory (lower is better)




Figure 43: Number of patients with impaired executive function: cognitive flexibility (lower is better)

	Low dose		High dose		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	p Events Total Events		Total	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI			
Werumeus 2015	6	47	6	47	1.00 [0.35, 2.88]	0.01	0.1 1 10	100
							Favours low dose Favours high dose	

Figure 44: Number of patients with impaired social cognition (lower is better)




Figure 45: Number of patients with impaired psychomotor speed (lower is better)

