Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results				Comments/
•	study design	characteristics					quality/applicability
Brewer,	Geographical location: US (13	Number of patients: N = 162	1) Active	joint cou	unt:		General comments: Older
Giannini,	centers; N = 65 patients); Soviet	- Screened for inclusion: NR	Degree of	f change a	at 6 month	s:	medications, PCN not used any
Kuzmina,	Union (5 centers; N = 97	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	Drug	Mean	Median	95% CI	longer
et al., 1986	patients)	- Randomized: NR	PCN	-3.0	-3	-4.8 to -1.1	
		- Began treatment: 162	HCQ	-2.8	-2	-5 to - 0.7	Quality assessment:
#1181	Study dates: NR	<ul> <li>Completed treatment:</li> </ul>	PLA	-2.9	-1.5	-5.6 to 0.2	Primary outcome:
		6 months = 143 (88%)					- Overall rating: Good
AND	Funding source: NIH	12 months = 123 (76%)	Degree of	f change a	at 12 mont	hs:	
	Grant from Winthrop laboratories		Drug	Mean	Median	95% CI	Adverse events:
Van	and funds from Merck Sharp	NR	PCN	-3.7	-3.5	-5.6 to -1.9	- Overall rating: Fair
,	Dohm Laboratories		HCQ	-6.7	-4	-9.4 to -4	- Comments: Listed by drug
Giannini,		Age:	PLA	-5.4	-4.5	-8 to -2.8	
	Setting: 18 pediatric	- Range: 18 months – 17 years					Applicability: Good
1988	rheumatology centers	- Mean 9.7 years	2) Quality	/ of life/fu	unctional	status: NR	
#4400	<b>S</b> tandardardard DOT	Sex:		, 			
#1120	Study design: RCT	- Female: 122 (75.3%)	3) Numbe	er of joint	ts with lim	ited range of	
	Intervention(a)	- Male: 40 (24.7%)	motion:				
	Intervention(s): - DMARD name: PCN	Race/ethnicity: NR	Degree of	f change a	at 6 month	s:	_
	- Dose: 5 mg/kg/day	Race/etimicity. NR	Drug	Mean	Median	95% CI	
	- Titration: Increased at 2 months	IIA diagnosis:	PCN	-2.5	-1	-4.3 to -0.8	
	to 10 mg/kg/day	JRA	HCQ	-0.7	-1	-2.3 to 1	
	- N = 54	Polyarticular 142, pauciarticular	PLA	-3.8	-2	-6.2 to -1.3	
	11 - 54	11, systemic 9	-				-
	- DMARD name: HCQ		Degree of	f change a	at 12 mont	hs:	
	- Dose: 3 mg/kg/day	Baseline severity:	Drug	Mean	Median	95% CI	
	- Titration: Increased at 2 months		PCN	-1.4	-0.5	-2.9 to	
	to 6mg/kg/day	PCN: 18 ± 13.5	FCN	-1.4		-0.04	
	- N = 57	HCQ: 18.6 ± 13.1	HCQ	-1.9	-2	-4.4 to 0.5	
		Placebo: 16.3 ± 10.6	PLA	-3.4	-3	-5.8 to	
	Comparator(s): Placebo (N =		FLA	-3.4	-3	-0.9	
	51)	Duration of disease: Mean 3.2					-
	-	years				rrent status:	
	Were additional arthritis				ber (%) mu		
	medications allowed?: Yes:	ESR:	better / sa	ame / wor	se / much v	worse / NA	
	NSAIDs, antibiotics,	PCN: 32 ± 23	6 months:				

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	acetaminophen and codeine	HCQ: 28 ± 23	PCN: 4(8) / 24(47) / 18(35) / 5(10) / 0 / 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·	Placebo: $30 \pm 21$	HCQ: 3(6) / 25(50) / 16(32) / 5(10) / 0 / 1(2)	
	NSAIDs given per protocol – had		PLA: 6(14) / 15(36) / 17(41) / 2(5) / 1(2) /	
	to be steady dose, unchanged during study	Percentage with uveitis: NR	1(2)	
	<b>C</b> .	Inclusion criteria:	12 months:	
	Study duration: 12 months	- Met the criteria for JRA	PCN: 9(21) / 15(35) / 12 (28) / 7(16) / 0	
	-	established by the American	HCQ: 11(24) / 22(48) / 12(26) / 1(2) / 0	
	Primary outcome(s): NR	Rheumatism Association or the criteria used in the Soviet Union	PLA: 7(21) / 11(32) / 14(41) / 2(6)0	
	Secondary outcome(s): NR	and Eastern Europe - Presence of severe, clinically	By patient/parent: NR	
		active. poorly controlled disease.	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
		- Age $\geq$ 18 months and $\leq$ 17	ESR: Mean decrease (median)	
		years	12 months:	
		,	PCN: 9.4 (4)	
		Exclusion criteria:	HCQ: 10 (4)	
		- Clinically important cardiac	PLA: 10 (4)	
		disorder or other severe or		
		chronic disease	6) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
		- Pregnant or nursing women	Remission of disease: NR	
		- Patients scheduled for surgery	Remission of disease. NR	
		- I allerits scheduled for surgery	Inefficacy (n [%]):	
			PCN: 4(36)	
			HCQ: 5(45)	
			PLA: 4(24)	
			Intolerance/AEs (n [%]):	
			PCN: 2(18)	
			HCQ: 3(27)	
			PLA: 3(18)	
			7) Mortality: NR	
			8) Adverse events reported?:	
			Yes - leucopenia, anemia	
			9) Other - Total sum of severity: Degree of change at 6 months:	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results				Comments/ quality/applicability
			Drug	Mean	Median	95% CI	
						-34.7 to	
			PCN	-23.5	-15	-12.3	
			1100	45.4	40	-23.9 to	
			HCQ	-15.4	-10	- 6.8	
			PLA	-12.7	-12.5	-24.8 to -0.6	
			Degree o	f change	at 12 month	IS:	
			Drug	Mean	Median	95% CI	
			U			-34.9 to	
			PCN	-24.3	-17.5	-13.7	
			HCQ	-23.4	-14	-34.2 to - 12.6	
			PLA	-18.1	-16	-24.4 to -11.8	
Giannini,	Geographical location: 18	Number of patients:	1) Active	joint cou	unt:		General comments: None
Brewer,	centers in the US and 5 in the	- Screened for inclusion: NR	Very low	dose: -5.2	2		
Kuzmina,	Soviet Union	<ul> <li>Eligible for inclusion: NR</li> </ul>	Low dose				Quality assessment:
et al., 1992		- Randomized: 127	Placebo:	-5.2			Primary efficacy outcome:
	Study dates: NR	- Began treatment: 127	p > 0.3				- Overall rating: Good
#1008		- Completed treatment: 114 (for	0) 0			1-1	- Comments: Well-conducted RCT
	Funding source: FDA, NIH,	efficacy analysis); 108 completed the entire 6-month trial			unctional s	status:	Adverse eventer
	National Arthritis Foundation, Children's Hospital Research	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:	Composit		% improved		Adverse events: - Overall rating: Good
	Foundation, Lederle Laboratories		Low dose				- Comments: Thorough
	roundation, Ledene Laboratories	under "Results" for details); no	Placebo:				explanation
	Setting: Specialty centers	reported loss to follow-up	1 100000.	0070			oxplanation
	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3) Numb	er of join	ts with lim	ited range of	Applicability: Good
	Study design: RCT	Age:	motion:	•		•	
		- Mean (SD): 10.1 years	Very low	dose: -0.5	5		
	Intervention(s):	- Median: NR	Low dose	e: -5.4			
	<ul> <li>DMARD name: Methotrexate</li> </ul>	- Range: 2.5 to 17.8 years	Placebo:	-0.7			
	- Dose: Very low dose (5	_	p = 0.04				
	mg/m <sup>2</sup> /week) or low dose (10	Sex:					
	mg/m <sup>2</sup> /week) up to 15 mg/week	- Female: 96 (76%)			nent of cur	rent status:	
	max	- Male: 31 (24%)	By physic				
	- N: Planned for 30/group	Race/ethnicity: NR	Very low			ebo (p = 0.02) ver placebo (p	
	Comparator(s): Placebo		= 0.06)				

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability	
	ettary accign	JIA diagnosis: JRA			
	Were additional arthritis		By patient/parent: NR – results "nearly		
	medications allowed?: Yes:	Baseline severity:	identical with those of the physician's"		
	NSAIDs or prednisone	Active joint count (n [SE]):			
		Very low dose: 27 (2)	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:		
	Dose of these drugs had to be	Low dose: 21 (2)	ESR:		
	constant for at least 1 month	Placebo: 24 (2)	Very low dose: 7/28 with an elevated level		
	before randomization and could		had a normal value by the final visit		
	not be changed	Duration of disease: Mean 5.1	Low dose: 13/28 with an elevated level had		
	not be changed	years	a normal value by the final visit		
	Study duration: 6 months	<i>j</i> ca. c	Placebo: 8/27 with an elevated level had a		
	,	Other (specify): Systemic in 32	normal value by the final visit		
	Primary outcome(s):	(25%)			
	- Physician's global assessment	(== 7,0)	6) Radiographic evidence of progression		
	of the patient's response	Percentage with uveitis: NR	of disease: NR		
	- Articular-severity score				
	- Composite index	Inclusion criteria:	7) Pain control: NR		
		- Criteria for JRA of the ACR or	,		
	Secondary outcome(s):	the Soviet Union and Eastern	8) Clinical remission: NR		
	- Number of joints with swelling	Europe	,		
	- Pain on motion	- 3 joints with active arthritis not	9) Flare of disease: NR		
	- Tenderness	adequately controlled by NSAIDs			
	- Limitation of motion	or second line agents	10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:		
	<ul> <li>Severity of condition</li> </ul>	- At least 18 months and less	Remission of disease: NR		
	- Duration of morning stiffness	than 18 years of age			
	- Laboratory changes (hemogram		Other reasons:		
	and ESR)	Exclusion criteria:	Very low dose: 2 ineffectiveness of drug, 1		
		- Other clinically important severe	AE, 2 intercurrent illness		
		or chronic disease	Low dose: 2 AEs, 2 intercurrent illness, 2		
		<ul> <li>Girls who might become</li> </ul>	"administrative," 1 noncompliance		
		pregnant	Placebo: 5 ineffectiveness of drug, 1		
		- Receipt of penicillamine,	intercurrent illness, 1 "administrative"		
		hydroxychloroquine, oral or	reasons		
		parenteral gold, or intraarticular			
		or long-acting parenteral steroids	11) Mortality: None		
		within 3 months before			
		randomization	12) Adverse events reported?:		
		- Previous receipt of	Yes		
		methotrexate	8/40 with very low dose: 4 GI problems, 2		
			headache or dizziness, 2 inflammation of		

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
			oral mucosa with headache and GI	
			problems	
			6/47 with low dose: 3 GI problems, 1	
			ulceration of mucous membranes, 1	
			headache, 1 headache and abdominal	
			problems	
			5/41 placebo: All GI problems	
			15 in very low dose, 15 in low dose, and 5	
			in placebo had abnormal lab results "judged	
			to be clinically important" - most frequent	
			were alterations in WBC differential,	
			hematuria, and pyuria. Increased	
			aminotransferase levels and anemia were	
Cionnini	Coographical location: 7	Number of notionto: N 25 in	most common with placebo.	Concret commenter includes only
Giannini, Lovell,	Geographical location: 7 centers in US and Canada	Number of patients: N = 25 in	<b>1) Active joint count:</b> In the RCT, -3% in IVIG group (n = 10),	General comments: Includes only
Silverman,	centers in US and Canada	the run-in phase, 19 in the blinded RCT	30% increase in the placebo group (n = 9)	subjects who responded to IVIG from the open-label trial –
	Study dates: Nov 1991-Nov	- Screened for inclusion: NR	30% increase in the placebo group (if = 9)	evaluates effectiveness based on
	1994	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	2) Quality of life/functional status:	lack of "escape"
#877		- Randomized: 19	19/25 had "clinically important	
-	Funding source: FDA, NIH,	- Began treatment: 19	improvement" in the open label and entered	Quality assessment:
	Immuno AG, Children's Hospital	- Completed treatment: 12	the RCT	Primary outcome:
	Research Foundation of	completed, 6 "early escape"		- Overall rating: Fair
	Cincinnati, Schmidlapp	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:	During the RCT, 2/10 in the treatment group	
	Founation, IRCSS (Italian	1	"escaped" to higher dosing based on	inference testing; conflict of
	Research Hospital)		clinically significant worsening. 5/9 in the	interest with funding source; main
	Catting Consists	Age:	placebo group escaped to treatment	outcome not validated
	Setting: Specialty		because of clinically significant worsening.	Adverse events:
	Study design: RCT, blinded,	the run-in period) - Median: NR	3) Number of joints with limited range of	- Overall rating: Fair
	with a run-in period between 3	- Range: 2 to 23 years	motion: NR	- Comments: No validated AE
	and 6 months. RCT lasted 4	Range. 2 to 20 years		measure; potential conflict of
	months and had an "escape"	Sex:	4) Global assessment of current status:	interest with funding source
	provision for those whose	- Female: 22 (88%)	By physician:	
	symptoms worsened.	- Male: 3 (12%)	In the RCT, -3% in physician global	Applicability: Includes only
		· ·	assessment in the IVIG group (n = 10), 91%	subjects who responded to IVIG
	Intervention(s):	Race/ethnicity: NR	increase in global assessment in the	from the open-label study
	- DMARD name: IVIG		placebo group (n = 9)	
	- Dose: 1.5-2.0 g/kg/infusion (100	) JIA diagnosis:		

Study	Interventions and Patient study design characteristics		Results	Comments/ quality/applicability	
	g maximum) bimonthly	All with poly-JRA	By patient/parent: NR		
	- Titration: After 6 infusions, dose		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	could be increased up to the	but short duration (< 3 years)	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:		
	maximum	Group B: $\geq$ 5 joints with active	NR		
	- N: 25	arthritis, disease before 8 years,			
		short duration (< 3 years)	6) Radiographic evidence of progression		
	Comparator(s): Placebo	Group C: Longer duration (> 5	of disease: NR		
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	years, substantial involvement (≥			
	Were additional arthritis	10 joints)	7) Pain control: NR		
	medications allowed?: Yes -		.,		
	NSAIDs, "slow acting	Baseline severity:	8) Clinical remission: NR		
	antirheumatic drugs	Active joint count: $26.7 (\pm 13.2)$	•, ••		
	(methotrexate, sulfasalazine,	at run-in	9) Flare of disease: NR		
	hyroxychloroquine), low dose				
	prednisone (< 10 mg/day)	Duration of disease: 4.4 years (+	10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:		
	p. eaeae ( 1 e	4.5) at run-in	- Remission of disease: NR		
	If Yes to above, was this done	Other (specify):	- Inefficacy: NR		
	per protocol or at the	Overall articular severity score:	- Intolerance/AEs: NR		
	discretion of study	103 (± 60)			
	investigators: NR	Physician global assessment: 5.7	11) Mortality: None		
		(± 2.0)	,		
	Study duration:	JAFAR: 11.1 (± 6.5)	12) Adverse events reported?:		
	Run-in: 3 to 6 months	Elevated ESR: 11/23	Yes – not broken down by treatment group		
	RCT: 4 months		In the open-label period, 3 patients, and in		
		Percentage with uveitis: NR	the RCT, 1 patient experienced AEs		
	Primary outcome(s):		associated with the infusion process,		
	- "Clinically important benefit,"	Inclusion criteria:	namely headache, dizziness, nausea,		
	defined as $\geq 25\%$ improvement in		vomiting, diarrhea, tachycardia, fatigue, and		
	at least 2 of the following: (a)	Between 2 and 23 years	chills.		
	total number of joints with active	Dottioon 2 and 20 years			
	arthritis, (b) overall articular	Exclusion criteria:	AEs not associated with infusion: In the		
	severity score, (c) physician's	- Known hypersensitivity to	open-label period, 1 with joint pain, 1 with		
	global assessment of overall	immunoglobulin	flare and worsening chronic iritis that		
	disease activity	- Leukopenia (WBC < 1500/mm <sup>3</sup> )	required steroids, 1 with fever to 39.9		
	- "Clinical important worsening,"	- Thrombocytopenia (platelets <	degrees C related to probable intercurrent		
	defined as $\geq 25\%$ worse in 2/3	100,000/mm <sup>3</sup> )	illness		
	above	- Significant renal or hepatic			
		disease	13) Other:		
	Secondary outcome(s):	- IgA deficiency	Mean time to failure during the RCT in the		
	Juvenile Arthritis Functional	- Malignancy	placebo group was 2.5 months (range 1.8 to		

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	Assessment Report (JAFAR)	<ul> <li>Chronic infection</li> <li>Immunized with a live virus in</li> </ul>	3.2 months)	
		past 2 weeks	In the RCT, 10% increase in JAFAR in the	
		- Pregnancy	IVIG group (n = 8), 59% increase in the	
		riognanoy	placebo group $(n = 7)$ – sample size smaller	
			because subjects with JAFAR = 0 at	
			baseline were excluded	
loza,	Geographical location: Prague,	Number of patients: N = 39	1) Number of criteria:	General comments:
	Czechoslovakia	- Screened for inclusion:	At time 0/6 months:	- Not controlled, not blinded
lemcova,		- Eligible for inclusion: 39	SSZ: 7/6	- Poor description of population
et al., 1991	Study dates: NR	- Randomized: SSZ, 21; DLG, 18	DLG: 4/3	
	-	- Began treatment: 39		Quality assessment:
<b>#1048</b>	Funding source: NR	- Completed treatment: 34	2) Number of affected joints:	Primary efficacy outcome:
	0			- Overall rating: Fair/poor
	Setting: Hospital	5 withdrawals	SSZ: 6/5	- Comments: Poor description of
			DLG: 4/3	patients; unclear if blinded; some
	Study design: RCT	Age: NR		outcomes validated, others not;
	, <u>,</u>	C	3) AM stiffness (minutes)	short study duration
	Intervention(s):	Sex:	At time 0/6 months:	,
	- DMARD name:	- Female: 26 (66.7%)	SSZ: 29/20	Adverse events:
	Sulfasalazine (SSZ)	- Male: 13 (33.3%)	DLG: 37/21	Overall rating: Poor
	- Dose: 20-30 mg/kg/day	· · · · · ·		- Comments: Not characterized b
	- N: 21	Race/ethnicity: NR	4) Pain score	patient or treatment received; no
			At time 0/6 months:	n/% given
	Comparator(s):	JIA diagnosis:	SSZ: 5/4	5
	- DMARD name: Chloroquin	SSZ:	DLG: 5/3	Applicability:
	(DLG)	Poly: 11		- Unclear population in terms of
	- Dose: 3 to 4 mg/kg/day	Oligo: 8	5) Global assessment of current status:	age and disease severity
	- N: 18	Systemic: 2	Improved/no effect/worse	- Study outside US
			SSZ:	- Not blinded
	Were additional arthritis	DLG:	- Physician: 9/9/3	
	medications allowed?: Yes:	Poly: 12	- Patient: 10/7/3	
	NSAIDs, prednisone	Oligo: 5	- Parent: 7/11/3	
		Systemic: 1		
	NR whether these were added	-	DLG:	
	per protocol or at the discretion	Baseline severity: NR	- Physician: 8/3/7	
	of clinician/investigator	-	- Patient: 7/5/3	
	J	Percentage with uveitis: NR	- Parent: 8/5/5	
	Study duration: 6 months	5		
	-	Inclusion criteria:	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	Primary outcome(s):	Pauci or polyarticular JCA	- ESR at time 0/6 months:	
	- Number of JCA criteria		SSZ : 52.7/36.3	
	- Number of affected joints	Exclusion criteria: NR	DLG: 41.2/28.9	
	- Duration of morning stiffness			
	- Pain score		6) Discontinuation of DMARD due to: NR	
	- ESR		-,	
	- Functional capacity		7) Mortality: NR	
	- Parent/patient and physician		.,	
	global		8) Clinical remission: NR	
	- Improvement (= when 5 of 6			
	indices reported improved)		9) Flare of disease: NR	
	Secondary outcome(s): NR		10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
			- Remission of disease: NR	
			- Inefficacy: NR	
			- Intolerance/AEs: SSZ, 4; DLG, 1	
			11) Mortality: 0	
			12) Adverse events reported?: Yes	
			SSZ: 4 (19%) discontinued due to AEs	
			DLG: 1 (5%)	
llowite,	Geographical location: 17 sites	Number of patients: N = 86 in	1) Active joint count: NR	General comments:
Porras,	in USA, Canada, Australia, New	run-in phase, 50 in blinded RCT		<ul> <li>Primary outcome changed from</li> </ul>
Reiff, et	Zealand, and Costa Rica	phase, 30 in extension phase	2) Quality of life/functional status:	efficacy to safety because of low
al., 2009		<ul> <li>Screened for inclusion: NR</li> </ul>	CHAQ change at week 28:	enrollment
	Study dates: July 2000 to	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	Anakinra: -0.25	- Baseline CHAQ and ESR values
#62	February 2004	- Randomized: 50	Placebo: 0.13	NR
		- Began treatment: 50	P value NR	
	Funding source: Amgen, Inc.	- Completed treatment: 31		Quality assessment:
		- Withdrawals/losses to followup	3) Number of joints with limited range of	Primary efficacy outcome:
	Setting: NR	during blinded phase: 19/50	motion: NR	- Overall rating: Poor
	-	(38%; Anakinra N = 6 [4 for		- Comments: Not powered for
	Study design: RCT, blinded,	disease flare], placebo N = 13	4) Global assessment of current status:	efficacy; insufficient reporting of
	placebo-controlled, multicenter,	[10 for disease flare])	- Physician: NR	randomization and concealment;
	with a 12-week, open-label, run-		- Patient/Parent: NR	no validated AE measure; conflict
	in period; 16-week, blinded RCT	Note: Reasons for withdrawal		of interest with funding source,
	phase; and a 12-month open-	from blinded phase NR	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	label extension period	· · · ·	- ESR change at week 28:	·
	r	Age:	Anakinra: -2.21	Adverse events:

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	Patients who experienced	- Mean (SD): 12 (SD NR)	Placebo: 13.73	- Overall rating: Fair
	disease flare during the blinded phase were given the option to	- Range: 3 to 17	P value NR	- Comments: Insufficient reporting of randomization and concealment;
	switch arms (and remain blinded)	<b>Sex:</b> - Female: 63 (73%)	6) Radiographic evidence of progression of disease: NR	no validated AE measure; conflict of interest with funding source
	Intervention(s):	- Male: 23 (27%)		5
	- DMARD name: Anakinra		7) Pain control: NR	Applicability:
	- Dose: 1.0 mg/kg/day (max dose	Race/ethnicity:		Outcomes measured; differential
	100 mg/day) by daily injection - Titration: NA	White: 46 (53%) Black: 5 (6%)	8) Clinical remission: NR	dropout rates (12% vs. 26%)
	- N: 86 in run-in phase, 25 in	Hispanic: 29 (34%)	9) Flare of disease:	
	RCT phase (plus 25 who	American Indian/Alaskan native:	By week 28:	
	received placebo), and 29 who	3 (3%)	Anakinra Placebo	
	completed open-label extension	Asian: 1 (1%)	<u>N (%) N (%)</u>	
	phase	Other: 2 (2%)	- Polyarticular 2 (14) 8 (42)	
	Comparator(s): Placebo (N =	JIA diagnosis: JRA	- Systemic	
	25)	Anakinra Placebo Onset:	2 (22) 1 (50) - Pauciarticular	
	Were additional arthritis	<u>N (%) N (%)</u>	0 1 (25)	
	medications allowed?: Yes:	- Polyarticular 14 (56) 19 (76)	P = 0.11	
	NR whether these were added	- Systémic	"Time to disease flare was greater in	
	per protocol or at the discretion	9 (36) 2 (8)	patients receiving anakinra, nearly reaching	
	of study investigators	- Pauciarticular 2 (8) 4 (16)	statistical significance (p = 0.057)."	
	Study duration:		10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
	12-week run-in phase	Baseline severity: NR	- Remission of disease: NR	
	16-week blinded phase	-	- Inefficacy: 27/86 patients (31%) in open-	
	12-month extension phase	Percentage with uveitis: NR	label run-in phase withdrew because of nonresponse	
	Primary outcome(s):	Inclusion criteria:	- Intolerance/AEs: 4/86 patients (5%) in	
	Safety, as defined by the incident	- Presenting with polyarticular-	open-label run -n phase withdrew because	
	of treatment-emergent AEs and lab values	course JRA, independent of onset	of AEs	
		<ul> <li>Required to have ≥ 5 swollen</li> </ul>	Reasons for withdrawal from blinded phase	
	Assessments done at baseline,	joints due to active arthritis (not	NR	
	week 2, week 4, and every 4	bony overgrowth) and 3 joints		
	weeks thereafter in blinded phase, then every 3 months in	with limitation of motion at screening and day 1 visit	11) Mortality: None	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results		Comments/ quality/applicability
	extension phase up to 12 months	<ul> <li>Age between 2 and 17 years</li> <li>Minimum weight of 10 kg</li> </ul>	12) Adverse events re	eported?: Yes	
	Secondary outcome(s):	- On a stable dose of MTX for 6	13) Other:		
	Response, defined as $\geq 30\%$	weeks before study entry and not			
	improvement in any 3 of 6 JRA	receiving biologic therapy within	AnakinraPlacebo		
	core set criteria variables,	4 weeks of initiating study drug	(%)	(%)	
	including:	- Negative pregnancy test of	- Polyarticular:	<u> </u>	
	- Physician global assessment of	childbearing potential	53	NR	
	disease activity;		- Systemic		
	- Patient/parent assessment of	Exclusion criteria:	73	NR	
	disease activity;	- Alanine aminotransferase or	- Pauciarticular		
	- CHAQ;	aspartate aminotransferase > 2.0	67	NR	
	<ul> <li>Number of joints with active</li> </ul>	times the upper limit of normal			
	arthritis;	<ul> <li>Creatinine &gt; 1.5 times the</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Number of joints with limited</li> </ul>	upper limit of normal			
	range of motion;	- WBC < 2.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L			
	- ESR.	- Neutrophil count < 1.5x10 <sup>9</sup> /L			
		- Platelet count < 150x10 <sup>9</sup> /L			
	Also assessed:	- Receiving treatment with a			
	- Proportion of patients with	DMARD other than MTX			
	disease flares in the blinded	- Receiving intraarticular or			
	phase;	systemic corticosteroid injections			
	- Time to disease flare;	within 4 weeks before study entry			
	- Changes in the JRA core	- Clinically significant systemic			
	components at week 28;	disease (such as hepatic, renal,			
	- Pharmacokinetics.	neurological, endocrine, cardiac,			
		gastrointestinal [except NSAID-			
		induced GI problems]) - Hematological disease			
		- Presence of symptoms of			
		systemic disease, such as			
		intermittent fever, rash,			
		hepatosplenomegaly, or			
		pericarditis within 24 weeks of			
		the first dose of anakinra			

Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results					Comments/
	study design	characteristics						quality/applicability
Kvien,	Geographical location: Oslo,	Number of patients: $N = 72$	1) Active					General comments: None
Hoyeraal,	Norway	- Screened for inclusion: NR	Baseline				change	
and	<b>O</b> ( 1 1.1.1.1.1.070.1.1000	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	values at	12, 24,	and 50 w	eeks:		Quality assessment:
Sanstad,	Study dates: 1979 to 1983	- Randomized: 72						Primary outcome:
1985		- Began treatment: 72	Drug	BL	12 wk	24 wk	50 wk	- Overall rating: Poor
	Funding source: Norsk Hydro	- Completed treatment: 44	HC	9	-1	-2	-4	- Comments: Allocation
#1207	Research Foundation for	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:	GSTM	7	-1	-2	-5	concealment not specified;
	Rheumatology, Norwegian	28	PEN	8.5	-2	-2	-2.5	important baseline differences;
	Women Public Health	•	P = NS					unclear if outcomes assessed blind
	Association, Astra Syntex	Age:						to intervention; outcomes not well
	Research Foundation at Oslo	- Median: 10.8 years	2) Quality					described
	Sanitersforening Rheumatism	- Range: 3.6 to 15.9 years	"Function				1-20	Adverse eventer
	Hospital and the Norwegian	Cove	graphic ra					Adverse events:
	Medicinal Depot	Sex:	assessme	ent of cu	rrent sta	tus," belo	W	<ul> <li>Overall rating: Poor</li> <li>Comments: Allocation</li> </ul>
	Sotting ND	- Female: 47 (65.3%)					_	
	Setting: NR	- Male: 25 (34.7%)	3) Numbe	er of joi	nts with	limited r	ange of	concealment not specified;
	Study design: DCT	Beee/ethnicity: ND	motion:					important baseline differences;
	Study design: RCT	Race/ethnicity: NR	Baseline				change	unclear if outcomes assessed blind
	Intervention(a)		values at	12, 24,	and 50 w	/eeks:		to intervention; outcomes not well
	Intervention(s): - DMARD name:	JIA diagnosis: JRA (pauciarticular or polyarticular)			1		1	described
		(pauciarticular of polyarticular)	Drug	BL	12 wk	24 wk	50 wk	Applicability: Non-USA
	Hydroxychloroquine (HC)- Ercoquin	Baseline severity:	HC	3	0	0	0	Applicability. Non-USA
	- Dose: 5 mg/kg daily, rounded	Active joint count: 7-9	GSTM	3	0	-1	0	
	upwards to nearest 25 mg and	Duration of disease: Median 16	PEN	4	0	-1	-2	
	given twice per day	months (range, 3 to 164)	P = NS					
	- Titration: Given 9 months then	Other: Radiographic erosions or						
	withdrawn	severe growth disturbances in ≥	4) Global					
	- N: 25	1 joint, $n = 9$	By physic					
	N. 20	1 joint, 11 = 5	activity): E					
	- DMARD name: Gold sodium	Percentage with uveitis:	change va	alues at	12, 24, a	and 50 we	eks:	
	thiomalate (GSTM) - Myocrisin	"Chronic iridocyclitis," n = 11			•		•	-
	- Dose: 0.7 mg/kg by weekly		Drug	BL	12 wk	24 wk	50 wk	
	injection	Inclusion criteria:	HC	11	-2	-2.5	-8	
	- Titration: After total of 14mg/kg	- Fulfillment of the diagnostic	GSTM	12	-3	-5	-9	
	(20 weeks), 0.7mg/kg given	criteria of JRA	PEN	12	-2	-4	-7.5	
	monthly through week 50	- Present pauciarticular or	P = NS					
	- N: 23	polyarticular disease type						
		- Between 2 and 16 yrs old	By physic	ian: HV	M ≥ 50%	improve	ment by	
	- DMARD name: D-Penicillamine		physician					
			and 50 we	eeks				

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results					Comments/ quality/applicability
	(Pen)- Distamin	for use of slow-acting						
	- Dose: Rounded to nearest 25	antirheumatic drugs (SAARD),	Drug	12 wk	24 wk	50 wk		
	mg and given twice per day	that is, progressive disease with	HC	4/25	9/24	12/17		
		reversible disease manifestations	GSTM	6/19	8/19	10/15		
	mg/kg weeks 5-8; 7.5 mg/kg	without sufficient effect of NSAID	PEN	0/23	8/19	8/12		
	weeks 9-12; 10 mg/kg after week		P = NS					
	12 to week 50	Exclusion criteria:						
	- N: 24	- Contraindication for use of	By patient	t/parent:	NR			
		either hydroxychloroquine, gold	• •	•				
	Comparator(s): Three DMARDs	sodium thiomalate, or D-	5) Labora	tory me	asures	of inflam	mation:	
	compared, no placebo	penicillamine	- ESR:					
		- Secondary amyloidosis	Baseline				change	
	Were additional arthritis	- Present systemic disease type	values at	12, 24, a	and 50 w	eeks:		
	medications allowed?: Yes:	- Use of either systemic						
	NSAIDs, preferred to be kept	corticosteroids,	Drug	BL	12 wk	24 wk	50 wk	
	constant; acetaminophen as needed	immunoregulatory drugs, or	HC	28	-4	-9.5	-12	
	needed	SAARD during the 6 months prior to the study, or local	GSTM	27	-7	-10	-11	
	Study duration: 50 weeks	corticosteroid injections or joint	PEN	20	-7	-6	-8	
	Study duration. So weeks	surgery during the preceding 2	P = NS					
	Primary outcome(s): Not stated;							
	outcomes measured at 12, 24,	hierand	6) Radiog		evidence	e of prog	ression	
	and 50 weeks		of diseas	<b>e:</b> NR				
			7) Dain a	ontrol.				
	Secondary outcome(s):		7) Pain co		+ Dooo	line (DL)	madian	
	- Joint counts		Pain on m and media					
	<ul> <li>Articular indices</li> </ul>		50 weeks		je values	5 al 12, 2	4, anu	
	- Physicians' overall assessment		JU WEEKS	•				
	<ul> <li>Goniometric measurements</li> </ul>		Drug	BL	12 wk	24 wk	50 wk	
	<ul> <li>Various functional tests</li> </ul>		HC	6	-1	0	-1	
	- Ophthalmological examinations		GSTM	4.5	-1	-1	-1	
	<ul> <li>ESR and other laboratory</li> </ul>		PEN	4.5	-1	-1	-2	
	measures		P = NS	,	5	2	4	
			. – 110					
			8) Clinica	I remiss	sion: NR			
			,					

**9) Flare of disease:** Withdrawals by week 50 due to disease exacerbation HC: 1 GSTM: 0

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
			PEN: 2	
			<b>10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:</b> - Remission of disease: NR - Inefficacy: HC, 6; GSTM, 4; PEN, 4 - Intolerance/AEs: HC, 0; GSTM, 3; PEN, 6	
			11) Mortality: NR	
			<b>12) Adverse events reported?:</b> Yes Number of AEs reported (HC / GSTM / PEN): Dermatitis: 1/2/1 Stomatitis: 0/1/0 GI upset: 1/0/4 Taste disturbances: 0/0/2 Proteinuria: 0/2/1 Eosinophilia: 0/3/0 Thrombocytopenia: 0/0/3 Antibodies to native DNA: 0/0/1 Other: 0/2/4 Withdrawals due to AEs: HC: 0	
			GSTM: 3 PEN: 6	
Kvien, Hoyeraal, and	Geographical location: Oslo, Norway	Number of patients: N = 32 (AZA N = 17; PL N = 15) - Screened for inclusion: NR	1) Active joint count: Baseline (BL) median and median change values at 8 and 16 weeks:	General comments: Reference 15 in the published report has more information on
Sandstad, 1986	-	- Eligible for inclusion: NR - Randomized: 32	Drug BL 8 wk 16 wk	outcomes assessment
#1188	Funding source: Norsk Hydro Research Foundation for Rheumatology, Norwegian Women Public Health Association, Astra Syntex Research Foundation at Oslo Sanitetsforening Rheumatism Hospital, Norwegian Medicinal Depot and Norma and Leon	<ul> <li>Began treatment: 32</li> <li>Completed treatment: NR</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup: 8 – follow-up rates: Week 8: 15/17 AZA; 15/15 PL Week 16: 13/17 AZA; 11/15 PL</li> <li>Age: Median (range):</li> </ul>	AZA17-5-7PL311-1P = 0.452) Quality of life/functional status:Baseline (BL) median and median changevalues at 8 and 16 weeks:	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome: - Overall rating: Fair - Comments: Allocation concealment not stated; small sample with some potentially important baseline differences and significant dropouts

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results					Comments/ quality/applicability
	Hess' Foundation for Support of	AZA: 10.2 years (2.4-14.8)	Drug	BL	8 wk	16 wk		Adverse events:
	Rheumatological Research at	Placebo: 9.5 years (4.1-15.0)	AZA	5	-2	-4		- Overall rating: Fair
	Olslo Sanitetsforening		PL	6	0	0		- Comments: No details on AE
	Rheumatism Hospital	Sex:	P < 0.01	0	U	U		assessments
		- Female:	1 < 0.01					
	Setting: NR	AZA 12 (70.6%)	3) Numbe	ar of ioi	nte with	limited r	ande of	Applicability: Not U.S.A.
	5	Placebo 10 (66.7%)	motion:		into with	minicui	ange or	
	Study design: RCT	- Male:	Baseline	(BL) me	dian and	median	hange	
	, ,	AZA 5 (29.4%)	values at				Jilango	
	Intervention(s):	Placebo 5 (33.3%)	values at	o ana i				
	- DMARD name: Azathioprine	· · · · · ·	Drug	BL	8 wk	16 wk		
	(AZA) -Imuran	Race/ethnicity: NR	AZA	9	-1	-1		
	- Dose: 2.5 mg/kg rounded to	-	PL	16	-1	-2		
	nearest 12.5 mg, given daily	JIA diagnosis: JRA	P = 0.51	10		-2		
	- Titration: NA	5	P = 0.51					
	- N: 17	Baseline severity:	4) Global		mont of	ourront	atatua.	
		Active joint count: 17 AZA; 31 PL	- By phys					
	Comparator(s):	Duration of disease: 31 months	activity): E					
	- Matching Placebo (PL)	AZA (range 4-139); 21 months	change va				median	
	- N: 15	PL (range 3-110)	change va	alues at	o anu n	o weeks.		
		Other (specify): Severe	Driver		0	10.04	1	
	Were additional arthritis	radiographic abnormalities: 8	Drug	BL	8 wk	16 wk		
	medications allowed?:	AZA, 7 PL	AZA	13	-3	-5		
	Prednisolone, preferably 0.2	,	PL	16	1	-2		
	mg/kg at trial start; reduced in 5-	Percentage with uveitis:	P = 0.12					
	8 steps until withdrawal by study	Chronic iridocyclitis: AZA n = 5;		<i></i> .				
	end; NSAIDS, preferably	PL n = 3	- By patie					
	maintained at stable dose		1-20, 20 r					
		Inclusion criteria:	median a	nd medi	an chang	ges at 8 a	nd 16	
	Study duration: 16 weeks	- Required therapy with	weeks:					
	•	immunomodulatory drugs				1	I	
	Primary outcome(s): Not	- Disease was active and	Drug	BL	8 wk	16 wk		
	specified	progressive (with severe	AZA	5	-1	-2		
		systemic features and/or with	PL	6	1	0		
	Secondary outcome(s): Multiple		P = 0.02					
	disease activity measures	progressing towards irreversible						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	joint abnormalities)	- By patie					
		- Insufficient response to	assessme	ent impr	oved by	≥ 50%:		
		previous adequate therapy with	AZA: 6/15	5 week 8	3; 8/13 w	eek 16		
		slow acting antirheumatic drugs	PL: 1/15 \	week 8;	1/11 wee	ek 16		
		eren seung anamountato urugo	P = 0.01					

Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results	Comments/
	study design	characteristics		quality/applicability
		for 6 months for patients with		
		pauci- and polyarticular disease	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
		type	- ESR: Patients with $\geq$ 50% improvement	
		- Systemic disease patients were		
		included if their responses to	PL: 3/15 week 8; 2/11 week 16	
		previous therapy with corticosteroids were insufficient	P = 0.36	
			<ul> <li>ESR: Patients with ≥ 25% improvement</li> </ul>	
		Exclusion criteria:	AZA: 8/15 week 8; 4/13 week 16	
		<ul> <li>Previous use of azathioprine or</li> </ul>	PL: 4/15 week 8; 4/11 week 16	
		other immunomodulatory drugs - Evidence of concomitant	P = 0.41	
		infectious, hematological, or hepatic disease, or other	6) Radiographic evidence of progression of disease: NR	
		disorders contraindicating use of		
		immunomodulatory drugs	7) Pain control:	
		- Probably insufficient	- Pain on movement (1-20, 20 maximum	
		cooperation and local followup	activity): Baseline median and median	
		- Joint surgery or corticosteroid	changes at 8 and 16 weeks:	
		injections (both local or systemic) during a period of 2 months	Drug BL 8 wk 16 wk	
		before the study		
		- Alterations of the dose of	AZA 3 -1 -2 PL 7 0 -1	
		NSAID or corticosteroid during	PL 7 0 -1	
		the 7 days before the study	P = 0.10	
		- Lack of assent/consent from the	9) Clinical remission: ND	
		patient/parent to take part in the		
		study	9) Flare of disease: NR	
		olddy	9) Flate of disease. NR	
			10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
			- Remission of disease: NR	
			<ul> <li>Inefficacy (exacerbation): 1 AZA; 2 PL</li> </ul>	
			- Intolerance/AEs: 3 AZA; 0 PL	
			11) Mortality: NR	
			12) Adverse events reported?: Yes	

Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results	Comments/
	study design	characteristics		quality/applicability
Lahdenne,	Geographical location: Finland	-	1) Active joint count:	General comments:
Vahasalo,		<ul> <li>Screened for inclusion: NR</li> </ul>	Etanercept: -9.5 (95% CI -19 to -3)	<ul> <li>Drug switching makes it hard to</li> </ul>
and	Study dates: NR	<ul> <li>Eligible for inclusion: NR</li> </ul>	Infliximab: -11.5 (95% CI -17 to -7.5)	interpret the effect of the drugs
Honkanen,		- Randomized: NA	P = 0.74	individually
2003	Funding source: NR	<ul> <li>Began treatment: 24</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Not much reported on the</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Completed treatment: 18</li> </ul>	2) Quality of life/functional status:	subjects
#530	Setting: NR	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:	CHAQ: Etanercept -0.81 (95% CI -1.44 to	
		Etancerpt (1 noncompliance –	-0.19)	Quality assessment:
	Study design: Nonrandomized	switched to infliximab), infliximab	Infliximab: -0.31 (95%CI -0.75 to -0.25)	Primary efficacy outcome:
	comparative study	(5 noncompliance or adverse	P = 0.12	- Overall rating: Poor
	. ,	events)		- Comments: No funding source
	Intervention(s):	,	3) Number of joints with limited range of	reported, assessment not masked
	- DMARD name: Infliximab or	Age:	motion: NR	
	etanercept	- Mean (SD): 10.2 (NR)		Adverse events:
	- Dose: Infliximab 3-4 mg/kg IV at		4) Global assessment of current status:	- Overall rating: Fair
	weeks 0, 2, 6, and then 4- to 8-	- Range: 3.3-16.3 years	- Physician:	- Comments: No validated AE
	week intervals; etancercept (0.4	· ·····g•· •·• · ··· · · · · · ·	Etanercept: -29 (95% CI -52 to -14.5)	measure, no funding source
	mg/kg) subcutaneously	Sex:	Infliximab: -35 (95% CI -50.5 to -23.5)	reported
	twice/week	- Female: NR	P = 0.65	
	- Titration: NR	- Male: NR	- Patient/Parent:	Applicability: Outcomes
	- N: 24 (14 infliximab, 10		Etanercept: -24.5 (95% CI-50.5 to -7.0)	measured prospectively
	etanercept)	Race/ethnicity: NR	Infliximab: -27.5 (95%CI -47.5 to -12)	
	etanoloopty		P = 0.81	
	Comparator(s): Open-label	JIA diagnosis: Polyarticular JIA		
	comparison to other DMARD		ACR Paediatric 50:	
		Baseline severity:	Etancercept: 3 mo (90%), 6 mo (89%), 12	
	Were additional arthritis	Active joint count:	mo (89%)	
	medications allowed?: Yes:	Etanercept: 10 (5-19)	Infliximab: 3 mo(67%) , 6 mo (83%), 12 mo	
	One or more of methotrexate,	Infliximab: 13 (6-21)	(78%)	
	prednisolone, cyclosporine A,	Duration of disease: At least 1	(10/0)	
	sulfasalazine,	year	ACR Paediatric 75:	
	hydroxylchloroquine,	year	Etancercept: 3 mo (60%), 6 mo (78%), 12	
	intraarticular corticosteroid	Percentage with uveitis: NR	mo (67%)	
	injections, NSAIDs	r crocinage mar avenue. Art	Infliximab: 3 mo(50%) , 6 mo (58%), 12 mo	
		Inclusion criteria: Refractory to	(67%)	
	NR whether these were added	standard treatment for 1 year		
	per protocol or at the discretion	Standard licalinent IOF Tyed	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	of study investigators	Exclusion criteria: NR	- ESR:	
	งารเนนทากของเมืองการ	EXClusion Cinteria. NR		
	Study duration: 12 months		Etancercept: -28.5 (95% CI -51.5 to -15)	
	Study duration: 12 months		Infliximab: -25 (95%CI: -36 to -15)	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results		Comments/ quality/applicability	
			P = 0.37			
	<b>Primary outcome(s):</b> ACR Paediatric 50 and 75		6) Radiographic e			
	Secondary outcome(s): Components of the ACR Paediatric instrument (ESR,		7) Pain control: NR			
	number of active joints, number of swollen joints, parent/patient		8) Clinical remiss	sion: NR		
	global assessment, doctor's global assessment, and CHAQ)		9) Flare of diseas	se: NR		
			<ul> <li>Remission of disa</li> <li>Inefficacy: NR</li> <li>Intolerance/AEs:</li> <li>3 in the infliximab g with chest pain, dy could not be contro or premedication</li> </ul>	group – infusion reaction /spnea and urticaria which olled by slowing infusion Ip – possible macrophage ne		
			3 in the infliximable etanercept, which	group switched to		
			11) Mortality: Nor	ne		
			12) Adverse even	nts reported?: Yes		
Lovell,	Geographical location: Multiple	Number of patients: N = 69	1) Active joint co		General comments:	
Giannini,	sites in US and Canada	- Screened for inclusion: NR		Etanercept	- Well designed, executed, and	
Reiff, et al., 2000	Study dates: NR	<ul> <li>Eligible for inclusion: NR</li> <li>Enrolled in lead-in phase: 69</li> </ul>	<u>N = 26</u> Baseline	<u>N = 25</u>	reported study - Some potential for conflict of	
#721	Funding source: Supported by	<ul> <li>Completed lead-in phase: 64</li> <li>Enrolled in RCT phase: 51</li> </ul>	3 mo	32.0	interest	
AND	Immunex Corporation, Seattle, which provided the study drug	<ul> <li>Began treatment: 51</li> <li>Completed treatment: 40</li> </ul>	37.5 7 mo	13.0	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome:	
Lovell,	and grants to investigational sites; by the Children's Hospital	- Withdrawals/losses to followup: Lead-in phase: 5/69 (1 AE, 2	13.0	7.0	- Overall rating: Good	
Giannini, Reiff, et	Foundation of Cincinnati; and by grants from the National	withdrew consent, 2 lack of response)	2) Quality of life/f CHAQ score:	unctional status:	Adverse events: - Overall rating: Good	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results		Comments/ quality/applicability
al., 2003	Institutes of Health (AR42632	RCT phase, etanercept: 6/25	Placebo	Etanercept	
	and AR44059-P60 MAMDC).	(24%) withdrew because of	<u>N = 26</u>	<u>N = 25</u>	Applicability: No significant
#547		disease flare	Baseline	<u></u>	issues
	Setting: NR	RCT phase, placebo: 18/26	1.3	1.6	
	5	(69%) withdrew because of	3 mo	-	
	Study design: RCT, multicenter,		0.4	0.9	
	double-blind, with open-label	parental withdrew consent	7 mo		
	lead-in and RCT phases (Lovell	- Enrolled in open-label extension	1.2	0.8	
	et al. #721) and ongoing open-	phase: 58			
	label extension phase with 58	- Included in analysis of	Lead-in phase: 3	37% median improvement in	
	patients (Lovell et al. #547)	extension phase: 48	scores seen for	all patients	
		- Withdrawals from extension		-	
	Intervention(s):	phase: 10 (suboptimal response	RCT phase: 54%	% mean improvement in	
	<ul> <li>DMARD name: Etanercept</li> </ul>	7; lost to followup 1; AEs 1;	etanercept vs. n	o change in placebo group	
	<ul> <li>Dose: 0.4 mg/kg (up to 25 mg)</li> </ul>	remission 1)	(p = 0.01)		
	subcutaneously twice weekly,				
	until disease flare occurred or 4	Age:		pints with limited range of	
	months elapsed	- Mean (SD): 10.5 (SD NR)	motion:		
	- N: 25	- Range: 4-17 years	Placebo	Etanercept	
			N = 26	<u>N = 25</u>	
	Comparator(s):	Sex:	Baseline		
	Placebo	- Female: 43 (62%)	6.5	8.0	
	- N: 26	- Male: 26 (38)	3 mo		
			1.0	2.0	
	Were additional arthritis	Race/ethnicity:	7 mo		
	medications allowed?: Yes:	White: 52 (75%)	4.5	1.0	
	- MTX was discontinued 14 days	Black: 6 (9%)			
	and other DMARDs 28 days	Hispanic: 9 (13%)		ssment of current status:	
	before start of treatment with	Other: 2 (3%)		al assessment of disease	
	etanercept	UA diagnasia.	severity:		
	- Intraarticular and soft-tissue	<b>JIA diagnosis:</b> JRA	Placebo	Etanercept	
	corticosteroid injections not		<u>N = 26</u> Baseline	<u>N = 25</u>	
	permitted during or for 1 month	Lead-in phase, n (%):		7	
	prior to the trial - Stable doses of NSAIDs or low	- Pauciarticular: 7 (10)	6 3 mo	7	
	doses of corticosteroids	<ul> <li>Polyarticular: 40 (58)</li> <li>Systemic: 22 (32)</li> </ul>	1	2	
	permitted, at discretion of	- Oysternic. 22 (32)	7 mo	2	
	clinician	RCT phase, n (%):	5	2	
	- Pain meds allowed except	- Pauciarticular: 3 (6)	5	2	
	during the 12 hours before joint	- Polyarticular: 31 (61)			

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results		Comments/ quality/applicability
	assessment	- Systemic: 14 (56)	Patient's or pa	rent's global assessment of	1
			overall well-be	ing:	
	Study duration:	Baseline severity:	Placebo	Etanercept	
	Lead-in phase: 3 months	Active joint count: 28	N = 26	<u>N = 25</u>	
	RCT phase: 4 months	Duration of disease: 5.9 years	Baseline		
	·	, ,	5	5	
	Primary outcome(s):	Percentage with uveitis: NR	3 mo		
	Number of patients with disease	5	1	2	
	flare, defined as worsening of $\geq$	Inclusion criteria:	7 mo		
	30% in 3 of 6 response variables,		5	3	
	with improvement of $\geq$ 30% in no		-	-	
	more than 1 variable	- Had active disease despite	5) Laboratory	measures of inflammation:	
			- ESR:		
	Secondary outcome(s):	methotrexate at doses of at least	-	Etanercept	
	Assessments at screening,	10 mg per square meter of body-		$\frac{N}{N} = 25$	
	baseline, day 15, and at the end	surface area per week	Baseline	<u>N = 25</u>	
	of each month, with final safety	- Had normal or nearly normal	27	41	
	assessment 30 days after	platelet, white-cell, and neutrophil		41	
	discontinuation of study drug	counts, hepatic amino-	12	15	
	discontinuation of study drug	transferase levels, and results of		15	
		renal-function tests	30	18	
		Tenal-function tests	30	10	
		Exclusion criteria:	- CRP:		
		<ul> <li>Pregnant or lactating females</li> </ul>	Placebo	Etanercept	
		(girls with childbearing potential	<u>N = 26</u>	<u>N = 25</u>	
		were required to use	Baseline		
		contraception throughout the	1.8	3.5	
		study)	3 mo		
		- Major concurrent medical	0.3	0.2	
		conditions	7 mo		
			3.5	0.4	
			"In the double-	blind study as compared with	
			the end of the	open-label study, a significant	
				atients who received placebo	
				normal levels of CRP and	
			ESR to above-	normal values ( $p \le 0.03$ for	
			each variable).		
			/		
			6) Radiograph	nic evidence of progression	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	, ,		of disease: NR	
			7) Pain control:	
			- Visual analog scale (0 = best, 10 = wors	t).
			Placebo Etanercept	<i>()</i> .
			$\underline{N = 26} \qquad \underline{N = 25}$	
			Baseline $N = 25$	
			3.5 3.5	
			3 mo	
			0.3 1.3	
			7 mo	
			3.5 1.5	
			8) Clinical remission: NR	
			9) Flare of disease:	
			RCT phase:	
			Placebo: 21 (81%)	
			Etanercept: 7 (28%)	
			P = 0.003	
			1 = 0.005	
			Rates of flare remained consistently and	
			significantly lower in the etanercept group	(p
			< 0.001) after adjustment for the effects of	
			baseline characteristics.	
			Median time to flare was > 116 days in the	<u>م</u>
			etanercept group, and 28 days in the	5
			placebo group ( $p < 0.001$ ).	
			10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
			<ul> <li>Remission of disease: NR</li> </ul>	
			- Inefficacy: 2/69 (3%) in lead-in phase	
			- Intolerance/AEs: 1/69 (2%) in lead-in	
			phase	
			11) Mortality: None	
			12) Adverse events reported?: Yes	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
			13) Other:	<u> </u>
			Definition of improvement: 30%	
			improvement from baseline on $\geq 3$ of 6 core	
			variables, with 30% worsening on no more than 1 variable	
			51/69 (74%) met the definition of	
			improvement at the end of the lead-in	
			phase. 44 (64%) and 25 (36%) met ACR	
			Pedi 50 and ACR Pedi 70 response criteria,	
			respectively	
			At the end of the RCT phase, 18 patients	
			(72%) in the etanercept group and 6	
			patients (23%) in the placebo group met	
			ACR Pedi 50 criteria for response	
Lovell,	Geographical location: Multiple		1) Active joint count: NR	General comments:
Ruperto, Goodman	centers in US, Italy, France, Czech Republic, Belgium,	(85 on MTX, 86 not on MTX) - Screened for inclusion: 196	2) Quality of life/functional status: NR	<ul> <li>Very well designed, executed, and reported study</li> </ul>
	Germany, and the Slovak	- Eligible for inclusion: 171	2) quality of mentanotional status. We	- Potential for conflict of interest,
,	Republic	- Open-label lead-in phase: 171	3) Number of joints with limited range of	given the funding source and the
#100		(85 on MTX, 86 not on MTX)	motion: NR	authors' relationships with industry
	Study dates: Lead-in and RCT	- Completed lead-in phase: 160		<ul> <li>Allocation concealment not</li> </ul>
	phases, Sep 2002 to Jan 2005;	(83 on MTX, 77 not on MTX)	4) Global assessment of current status:	specified
	ongoing extension phase	- Began treatment in RCT phase:		Quality accomments
	Funding source: Supported by	133 (75 on MTX, 58 not on MTX) - Completed RCT phase: 128 (71	- Patient/Parent: NR	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome:
	a research grant from Abbott	on MTX, 57 not on MTX)	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	Laboratories	- Entered extension phase: 128	- ESR: NR	
		- Withdrawals/losses to followup:		Adverse events:
	Setting: NR	Before RCT phase: 38		<ul> <li>Overall rating: Good</li> </ul>
		During RCT phase: 5	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	Study design: RCT, double-	A	of disease: NR	Applicability: No significant
	blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, medication-	Age: - Mean (SD):	7) Pain control: NR	issues
	withdrawal study, with lead-in,	- Mean (SD). MTX: 11.4 (3.3)		
	RCT, and extension phases	No MTX: 11.1 (3.8)	8) Clinical remission: NR	
	,	- Range: 4-17 years	,	
	Random allocation, stratified by		9) Flare of disease:	
	MTX use (never received MTX	Sex:	Defined as > 30% worsening in $\ge$ 3 of 6	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results				Comments/ quality/applicability
vs. discontinued MTX > 2 weeks before)		- Female: MTX: 68 (80%) No MTX: 67 (78%)	core criteria 30% in no r			ement of ≥	
	Patients achieving ACR Pedi 30	- Male:	No. of disea	ase flares o	during RCT	phase:	
	response at 16 weeks of the lead-in phase entered RCT	MTX: 17 (20%) No MTX: 19 (22%)	Sub- group	Placebo	Adalim	P value	
	phase	Race/ethnicity:	MTX	24/37 (65%)	14/38 (37%)	0.02	
	Intervention(s): - DMARD name: Adalimumab - Dose: Based on body-surface	White: MTX: 81 (95%) No MTX: 76 (88%)	No MTX	20/28 (71%)	13/30 (43%)	0.03	
	area during first part of extension phase; in later part, fixed dose given (20 mg for patients weighing < 30 kg, and 40 mg for patients weighing ≥ 30 kg) During lead-in phase: 24 mg/m <sup>2</sup> (up to 40 mg) subcutaneously every other week for 16 weeks - Titration: As above - N: 68 Comparator(s): Placebo - N: 65	MTX: 0 (0%) No MTX: 3 (3%)	<ul> <li><b>10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:</b> <ul> <li>Remission of disease: NR</li> <li>Inefficacy: NR</li> <li>Intolerance/AEs: NR</li> </ul> </li> <li>During lead-in phase, 1/85 patients (1%) in the MTX stratum and 2/86 (2%) in the no MTX stratum withdrew because of an AE, and 5/85 (6%) in the no MTX stratum withdrew because of lack of efficacy</li> <li>During the RCT phase, 1/133 (1%) withdrew consent, and 4/133 (3%) withdrew for other reasons</li> </ul>			nts (1%) in n the no of an AE, atum acy %)	
	Were additional arthritis medications allowed?: Yes: - Patients taking MTX were at a	Duration of disease, in years: - MTX, placebo: 4.0 - MTX, adalimumab: 4.3	11) Mortali	-	anartad?	Vec	
	<ul> <li>Patients taking MTX were at a stable dose of at least 10 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/week for 3 months and continued through lead-in and RCT phases</li> <li>NSAIDs, low-dose corticosteroids, or pain meds</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MTX, adainfumab. 4.3</li> <li>No MTX, placebo: 2.9</li> <li>No MTX, adalimumab: 3.6</li> <li>Percentage with uveitis: NR</li> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> </ul>	12) Advers 13) Other: ACR 30: "T to all levels the open-la	he patients of ACR Pe	s improved edi respons	according	
	given at the discretion of clinician/investigator	- Age 4-17 years - Polyarticular JRA with active disease	"More patie than patien Pedi 30, 50	ts treated v	vith placeb	o had ACR	
	Study duration: 16-week open-label lead-in	- Inadequate response to NSAIDs	methotrexa receiving N	te stratum	•		

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	phase, 32-week RCT withdrawal	- Either previously treated with		
	phase, and ongoing open-label extension phase	MTX or had AEs or no response to MTX	"During the open-label extension phase, ACR Pedi responses were sustained during 2 years of treatment. After 104 weeks of	
	<b>Primary outcome(s):</b> Percentage of patients not receiving MTX who had a disease flare during the RCT phase	Exclusion criteria: - Hematologic, hepatic, or renal abnormalities - Ongoing infection or recent severe infection	treatment, 40% of patients had an ACR Pedi 100 response."	
	<ul> <li>Recently vaccinated</li> <li>Previously treated with IVIG, cytotoxic agents, investigational agents, DMARDs other than</li> <li>Safety evaluated on basis of physical exams, lab results, vital signs, and AEs</li> <li>Recently vaccinated</li> <li>Previously treated with IVIG, cytotoxic agents, investigational agents, DMARDs other than</li> <li>MTX, or corticosteroids administered IV, IM, or intraarticular</li> </ul>			
Opper-	Geographical location: Cottbus,	Number of patients: N = 20	1) Active joint count: NR	General comments: None
mann and	Germany	- Screened for inclusion: NR		
Mobius, 1994	Study dates: NR	<ul> <li>Eligible for inclusion: NR</li> <li>Randomized: NA</li> </ul>	2) Quality of life/functional status: NR	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome:
#937	Funding source: NR	<ul> <li>Began treatment: 20</li> <li>Completed treatment: NR</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup:</li> </ul>	3) Number of joints with limited range of motion: NR	<ul> <li>Overall rating: Poor</li> <li>Comments: Open-label, nonrandomized, analyses not</li> </ul>
	Setting: NR	NR	4) Global assessment of current status: - Physician: NR	adjusted for baseline differences, patients not adequately described
	Study design: Nonrandomized	Age:	- Patient/Parent: NR	
	comparative study	- Range: 2-15 years		Adverse events:
	Intervention(s):	Sex: NR	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation: (Estimated from graph) - ESR:	<ul> <li>Overall rating: NA</li> <li>Comments: AEs not reported</li> </ul>
	- DMARD name: Alphaglobulin (AG) - Dose: 400 mg IG/kg daily x 5	Race/ethnicity: NR	MP: Baseline 59, 6 months 21 AG: Baseline 61, 6 months 24	Applicability: Not USA
	days; repeated 3 days each month for 6-8 months	JIA diagnosis: JCA	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	- Titration: None - N: 8	Baseline severity: Active joint count: NR	of disease: NR	
		Duration of disease: NR	7) Pain control: NR	
	<b>Comparator(s):</b> - DMARD name: Methylprednisolone (MP)	Percentage with uveitis: NR	8) Clinical remission: NR	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results			Comments/ quality/applicability
	<ul> <li>Dose: 30 mg/kg (max 1.0 g/pulse) x 3 days; pulses repeated monthly for 6-8 months</li> <li>Titration: None</li> <li>N: 12</li> <li>Were additional arthritis medications allowed?: Yes:</li> <li>NSAIDS continued</li> <li>Methotrexate 10 mg/m²/week</li> <li>Glucocorticosteroids ≤ 0.2 mg/kg body weight/day – given on alternate days</li> <li>Study duration: Unclear, likely 6-8 months</li> </ul>	Inclusion criteria: PJCA or SJCA, characterized by high inflammatory activity of the rheumatic process Exclusion criteria: NR	<ul> <li>9) Flare of dis</li> <li>10) Discontinu</li> <li>Remission of</li> <li>Inefficacy: NF</li> <li>Intolerance/A</li> <li>11) Mortality:</li> <li>12) Adverse e</li> </ul>	uation of DMA disease: NR R Es: NR NR		
	Primary outcome(s): NR					
	Secondary outcome(s): ESR, CD4, CD8 counts					
Prieur, Piussan, Manigne,	Geographical location: France Study dates: NR	Number of patients: N = 74 (DP 38, placebo 36) - Screened for inclusion: NR	1) Morn mean [SD]):	ing stiffness (	minutes,	General comments: None Quality assessment:
et al., 1985		- Eligible for inclusion: 74	Drug	Time 0	Final	Primary efficacy outcome:
	Funding source: Supported by	- Randomized: 74	DPN	47.5 (36.2)	26.8 (38.7)	- Overall rating: Fair
#1212		- Began treatment: 74	Placebo	48.2 (32.5)	37.2 (43.8)	- Comments: Outcome measures
	Maladie des Travailleurs Salariés Setting: Outpatient or 3	<ul> <li>Completed treatment: 55</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup: 12 (4/8)</li> </ul>	2) Number of	painful joints	· · · · ·	not validated, patients in placebo group may have had worse disease
	specialized centers	Analysis complete on 70 (2	Drug	Time 0	Tingl	0.56036 ]
		misdiagnosed not included)	Drug	Time 0	Final	Adverse events:
	Study design: RCT, double-	missiagnosed not molded)	DPN	6.3 (5.5)	3.3 (3.8)	- Overall rating: Good
	blind	Age:	Placebo	7.6 (5.3)	5.5 (5.5)	
	Intervention(s): - DMARD name: D-penicillamine	- Mean (SD): DP: 8.2 (3.9) Placebo: 9.8 (3.9)	3) Number of inflamed joints (mean [SD]):			Applicability: Outdated medication
	- Dose: 5 mg/kg/day x 2months	- Range: 3-18 years	Drug	Time 0	Final	
	<ul> <li>Titration: Increased to 10 mg/kg/day x 4 months</li> </ul>	Sex:	DPN	5.2 (5.2)	2.5 (3.4)	
	my/ky/uay x 4 monuns	UGA.	Placebo	2.6 (2.7)	1.7 (2.1)	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results			Comments/ quality/applicability
	- N: 38	- Female: 51 (68.9%) - Male: 23 (31.1%)	4) Number o	of stiff joints (n	nean [SD]):	
	Comparator(s):		,		,	
	Placebo; N = 36	Race/ethnicity: NR	Drug	Time 0	Final	]
			DPN	11.7 (9.0)	8.5 (7.9)	
	Were additional arthritis	JIA diagnosis:	Placebo	10.6 (7.5)	11.1 (9.2)	
	medications allowed?: Yes: Pyridoxine hydrochloride 10 mg/kg/day	Polyarticular JCA or pauciarticular JCA (but with polyarticular course) or systemic		f pain (mean [		_
	<b>O</b> to do a dome the set O more with a	onset JCA	Drug	Time 0	Final	
	Study duration: 6 months	Pacalina covarity	DPN	7.2 (5.8)	3.6 (4.2)	
	Primary outcome(s):	Baseline severity: Number of inflamed joints:	Placebo	8.3 (6.6)	6.5 (6.3)	
	<ul> <li>Functional Steinbrocker class</li> <li>Duration morning stiffness (minutes)</li> <li>Number of painful joints</li> <li>Number of inflamed joints</li> <li>Number of stiff joints</li> <li>Sum of severity of pain</li> <li>Sum of severity of inflammation</li> <li>Sum of severity of stiffness</li> </ul>	DPN: 10.5 (± 6.5) Placebo: 13.9(± 19.1) Duration of disease: DPN: 3.1 (± 2.3) Placebo: 4.2 (±3.3) Percentage with uveitis: NR Inclusion criteria:	DPN: 9/4 Placebo: 6/6	al class 3-4 (tir ns (time final): on (SD1):	·	
	- Consumption of steroids and - ASA - ESR	<ul> <li>Met previously established diagnostic criteria</li> <li>At least 2 of the following inflammatory criteria: erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) &gt; 25 mm/hour, serum fibrinogen &gt; 400 mg/dL, and elevation (&gt; 2 SD) of IgG, IgA, or IgM</li> </ul>	Drug DPN Placebo	Time 0 49 (32) 41 (26)	Final 31 (26) 33 (23) t assessment	
		Exclusion criteria: - Persistence of systemic extraarticular symptoms (mainly spiking fever) during the previous 6 months - Arthritic involvement of < 4 joints - Use of NSAIDs not authorized for pediatric use in France	<ul> <li>Remission of - Inefficacy: 1</li> <li>Intolerance/</li> <li>11) Mortality</li> </ul>	l /AEs: 2		

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
		<ul> <li>Systemic corticosteroid therapy</li> <li>0.5 mg/kg/day of prednisone or the equivalent</li> <li>Use of SAARD during the previous 3 months</li> <li>Any modification of treatment (including physiotherapy) during the past month</li> <li>Presence of renal, blood, or hepatic disorders during the previous 6 months</li> </ul>		<u>quanty</u> , αμριιταυπιτ <u>γ</u>
		- History of penicillin allergy		
Riddle, Ryser, Morton ,et	<b>Geographical location:</b> Dallas, Texas	Number of patients: N = 57 - Screened for inclusion: NR - Eligible for inclusion: 63	1) Active joint count: Baseline and 4-month mean (SD): NSAID: 2.8 (2.6), 2.0 (2.2)	General comments: Patient reports of HRQOL also given
al., 2006	Study dates: NR	- Randomized: NA	MTX: 8.1 (8.9), 4.1 (5.2)	Quality assessment:
		- Began treatment: 63	MP: 8.6 (7.3), 1.5 (2.5)	Primary efficacy outcome:
#313	Funding source: NR	- Completed treatment: 57	F (2, 35) = 5.62, p = 0.008, MP greater	- Overall rating: Poor
	<b>Setting:</b> Hospital specializing in pediatric rheumatological conditions	<ul> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup:</li> <li>Age:</li> <li>Mean (SD): 8.1 (4.8)</li> </ul>	treatments 2) Quality of life/functional status:	- Comments: Confounding by indication; analysis adjusts only for baseline scores and not other potential confounders; outcomes
	Study design: Nonrandomized	Sex:	- Generic PedsQL Total Score (Parent report) – Baseline and 4-month mean (SD):	not assessed blind to treatment condition; patients not blind to
	comparative study	- Female: 44 (77.2%) - Male: 13 (22.8%)	NSAID: 76.1 (16.8), 77.5 (17.5) MTX: 69.7 (13.3), 74.7 (15.0)	treatment assignment
	Intervention(s):		MP: 44.9 (19.4), 72.0 (18.9)	Adverse events:
	- DMARD name: Methotrexate	Race/ethnicity: NR	Time*Medication $F(10, 58) = 2.36$ , p = 0.02;	- Overall rating: Fair
	(MTX) - Dose: NR - Titration: NR	JIA diagnosis: JIA	MP greater percent improvement than other two treatments	- Comments: Outcomes not assessed blind to treatment condition; patients not blind to
	- N: 20	<b>Baseline severity:</b> - Active joint count: Mean of 2.8	<ul> <li>Rheumatology PedsQL Total Score</li> <li>(Parent Report) – Baseline and 4-month</li> </ul>	treatment assignment
	Comparator(s):	to 8.6 across groups	mean (SD):	Applicability: Poor
	- NSAID, dose not specified, n = 22	- Duration of disease: NR	NSAID: 70.8 (23.5), 75.7 (20.5) MTX: 60.3 (16.9), 71.9 (14.7)	··· ·
	- Methylprednisolone (MP) IV at time 1 and 4 months later; dose	Percentage with uveitis: NR	MP: 45.9 (19.2), 74.2 (20.1) Time*Medication F(10, 52) = 2.86, p =	
	not specified, $n = 20$	Inclusion criteria: - Diagnosis of JIA	0.007; MP greater percent improvement than other two treatments	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	Were additional arthritis	- Beginning new medication		quanty/approability
	medications allowed?: NR	treatment – NSAIDs, MTX, or	3) Number of joints with limited range of	
	medications anowed : . MR	steroids	motion:	
	Study duration: 4 months	- Age 1-18 years	Baseline and 4-month mean (SD):	
	Study duration: 4 montais	- Age 1-10 years	NSAID: 3.7 (8.0), 3.1 (7.3)	
	Primary outcome(s):	Exclusion criteria:	MTX: 7.9 (8.5), 4.3 (6.4)	
	- Pediatric Quality of Life	- Presence of any other major	MP: 9.5 (9.3), 3.5 (6.9)	
	Inventory (PedsQL), version 4.0		MF. 9.5 (9.5), 5.5 (0.9)	
	-Generic Core Scales	illness or disability, as determined by the pediatric	4) Global assessment of current status:	
	- Rheumatology Module, version		- Physician: NR	
	3.0	- Lack of proficiency in the	- Patient/Parent: NR	
	5.0	English language prohibiting the		
	Secondary outcome(s):	administration of study	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	- Adverse effects	questionnaires	ESR – Baseline and 4-month mean (SD):	
	- Joint counts	questionnalies	NSAID: 22.6 (22.7), 22.1 (21.3)	
	- ESR			
			MTX: 40.2 (30.6), 27.7 (23.4)	
	- Global assessment		MP: 77.3 (32.3), 19.3 (18.8) F (2, 35) = 12.3, p = 0.001, MP greater	
			percent improvement than other two	
			treatments	
			treatments	
			6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
			of disease: NR	
			7) Pain control: Reported only as a	
			subscale of Rheumatology PedsQL	
			8) Clinical remission: NR	
			9) Flare of disease: NR	
			10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
			- Remission of disease: NR	
			- Inefficacy: NR	
			- Intolerance/AEs: NR	
			11) Mortality: NR	
			12) Adverse events reported?:	
			Yes	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
Ruperto,	Geographical location: 34 sites	Number of patients: N = 122	1) Active joint count:	General comments: None
Lovell,	in North America (9), South	- Screened for inclusion: NR	"At week 14, the number of joints with active	
Cuttica, et	America (3), and Europe (22)	- Eligible for inclusion: 122	arthritis differed significantly between	Quality assessment:
al., 2007		- Randomized: 122		Primary efficacy outcome:
	Study dates: Oct 2001 to Apr	- Began treatment: 122	those in the placebo group ( $p = 0.016$ ),	- Overall rating: Fair
<b>#188</b>	2004	- Completed treatment: 109	whereas there were no significant	- Comments: Results
	Funding source: Centocor, Inc.	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:		inconsistently, incompletely, and inadequately reported
			2) Quality of life/functional status: NR	induction reported
	Setting: NR	Age:		Adverse events:
		Mean (SD):	3) Number of joints with limited range of	
	Study design: RCT, Phase III,	6 mg/kg: 11.0 (±4.0)	motion: NR	everal raing. Fail
	international, multicenter, double-			Comments: Results inconsistently
	blind, placebo-controlled, with	Range: $\geq 4$ to < 18	4) Global assessment of current status:	incompletely, and inadequately
	double-blind all active treatment		- Physician: NR	reported
	extension	Sex:	- Patient/Parent: NR	loponod
	extension	Female:		Applicability: Good
	Interventions:	6 mg/kg: 49(79.0%)	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	DMARD name: Infliximab plus	3 mg/kg: 53(88.3%)	- ESR: NR	
	methotrexate	Male:	- Other: NR	
	Dose: 3 mg/kg	6 mg/kg: 13 (21.0%)		
	Titration: None	3 mg/kg: 7 (11.7%)	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	N: 60	3 mg/kg. 7 (11.778)	of disease: NR	
	11.00	Race/ethnicity:		
	Comparator: Placebo +	White:	7) Pain control: NR	
	methotrexate for 14 weeks.	6mg/kg: 53(88.3%)	.,	
	followed by Inliximab 6 mg/kg	3 mg/kg: 50(83.3%)	8) Clinical remission:	
	plus MTX in weeks 14-52	Other:	0 active joints at 52 weeks:	
	N: 62	6 mg/kg: 9 (11.7%)	Infliximab 3mg/kg: 26/59 (44.1%)	
	11. 02	3 mg/kg: 10 (16.7%)	Placebo then Infliximab 6 mg/kg: 25/58	
	Were additional arthritis		(43.1%)	
	medications allowed: Yes:	JIA diagnosis:		
	Methotrexate 10-15 mg/m <sup>2</sup> /week		9) Flare of disease: NR	
	oral or parenteral; other drugs	Systemic onset:		
	(NSAIDs, opioids,	6 mg/kg: 8 (13.1%)	10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
	corticosteroids) given at the	3 mg/kg: 11 (18.3%)	- Remission of disease: NR	
	discretion of the	o	- Inefficacy: NR	
	clinician/investigator	Pauciarticular onset, then	- Intolerance/AEs: 9 patients infliximab, 1	
	on noise with obligator	polyarticular:	placebo + MTX	
	Study duration: 52 weeks	6 mg/kg: 15 (24.6%)		

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
		3 mg/kg: 13 (21.7%)	11) Mortality: 2 deaths (1 placebo + MTX,	
	Primary outcome: Proportion		1 Infliximab)	
	meeting ACR Pedi 30 criteria at	Polyarticular:		
	week 14	6 mg/kg: 38 (62.3%) 3 mg/kg: 36 (60%)	12) Adverse events reported?: Yes	
	Secondary outcome:		13) Other:	
	- Improvement > 50% and > 70%	Baseline severity:	ACR30 (primary study outcome)	
	on Pedi 50 and Pedi 70	Duration of disease (mean years	Week 14:	
	- At week 52, number of joints	± SD):	Infliximab 3 mg/kg: 37/58 (63.8%)	
	with active disease	6 mg/kg: 3.6 (± 3.4) 3 mg/kg: 4.2 ( <u>+</u> 3.6)	Placebo + MTX: 29/59 (49.2%)	
		o mg/ng: <u>ne (-</u> oro)	Week 52 (all patients):	
		Active joint count (mean ± SD):	Pedi 50: 78/112 (69.9%)	
		6 mg/kg: 18.5 (± 11.5)	Pedi 70: 58/112 (51.8%)	
		3  mg/kg: 19.5 (± 12.3)	No significant differences between study	
		0 mg/ng: 10.0 (± 12.0)	groups	
		Rheumatoid factor + (n [%]):	groups	
		6 mg/kg: 14 (23.7%)	"By the end of the study, following	
		3 mg/kg: 13 (21.7%)	crossover of placebo-treated patients to	
		5 mg/kg: 15 (21.776)	infliximab 6 mg/kg, improvement in the JRA	
		Percentage with uveitis: 0%	core set components was comparable	
		reicentage with uvents. 078	between the treatment groups."	
		Inclusion criteria:	between the treatment groups.	
		<ul> <li>Age ≥ 4 years and &lt; 18 years</li> </ul>		
		- JRA		
		- Suboptimal response to MTX		
		after ≥ 3 months		
		- ≥ 5 active joints		
		- No active systemic symptoms		
		Exclusion criteria:		
		- Active uveitis		
		- Serious infection, including		
		tuberculosis		
		- Malignancy		
		- Prior treatment with TNF		
		inhibitor		

Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results	Comments/
	study design	characteristics		quality/applicability
Ruperto,	Geographical location: Europe,		1) Active joint count:	General comments: None
Lovell,	Latin America, USA	<ul> <li>Screened for inclusion: 214</li> </ul>	At the end of the RCT (mean [SD]):	
Quartier,		- Eligible for inclusion: 190, of	Abatacept: 4.4 (7.0)	Quality assessment:
et al., 2008	Study dates: Feb 2004-June	whom 170 enrolled in open-label		Primary efficacy outcome:
	2006	trial	P = 0.02	<ul> <li>Overall rating: Good</li> </ul>
#102		- Randomized: 123 (based on		- Comments: Potential funding
	Funding source: Bristol-Myers	response in open-label trial)	2) Quality of life/functional status:	conflict
	Squibb	- Began treatment: 122	CHAQ (mean [SD]):	
		- Completed treatment: 42	Abatacept: 0.8 (0.9)	Adverse events:
	Setting: Pediatric rheumatology	discontinued because treatment	Placebo: 0.7 (0.6)	- Overall rating: Good
	centers	not effective	P = 0.04	- Comments: Potential funding
		- Withdrawals/losses to followup:		conflict
	Study design: Open-label run-in		3) Number of joints with limited range of	
	followed by RCT	completed all visits in the 6-	motion (mean [SD]):	Applicability: Good
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	month double-blind period	Abatacept: 8.8 (12.8)	
	Intervention(s):		Placebo: 8.6 (12.0)	
	Open label: Abatacept 10mg/kg	Age:	P = 0.01	
	(max 1000 mg) on days 1, 15,	Mean (SD) for the double-blind		
	29, 57, and 85 of the 4-month	period:	4) Global assessment of current status:	
	open-label period	Abatacept (n = $60$ ): 12.6(3)	By physician (mean [SD]):	
	open laber peried	Placebo (n = $62$ ): 12.0 (3)	Abatacept: 14.7 (18.9)	
	Subjects who met ACR-Ped 30	1 12000 (11 = 02). 12.0 (0)	Placebo: 12.5 (12.5)	
	were randomized to abatacept or	Overall age range: 6-17 years	P < 0.01	
	placebo	Overall age fallge. 0-17 years	1 < 0.01	
	placebo	Sex: For the double-blind period	By patient/parent (mean [SD]):	
	Abatacept 10mg/kg in 28-day	Abatacept:	Abatacept: 17.9 (22.2)	
	intervals for 6 months or until a	- Female: 72%	Placebo: 23.9 (21.6)	
	flare	- Male: 28%	P = 0.70	
	liale		P = 0.70	
		Placebo:	5) Loberton, measures of inflormmetion.	
	Comparator(s):	- Female: 73%	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	Placebo (for RCT)	- Male: 27%	ESR (mean [SD]):	
		Descalations in the deviate	Abatacept: 25.1 (26.4)	
	Were additional arthritis	Race/ethnicity: For the double-	Placebo: 30.7 (30.1)	
	medications allowed?:	blind period	P = 0.96	
	Methotrexate (if stable on it),	Abatacept:		
	folinic or folic acid, stable oral	- White: 77%	C-reactive protein (mean [SD]):	
	corticosteroids (10 mg/day or 0.2		Abatacept: 0.16 (0.25)	
	mg/kg/day, whichever less),	- Other: 15%	Placebo: 0.29 (0.54)	
	NSAIDs or analgesics for pain	Placebo:	P = 0.03	
	control	- White: 79%		

Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results	Comments/
	study design	characteristics		quality/applicability
		- Black: 7%	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	Study duration:	- Other: 15%	of disease: NR	
	4 months (open-label), then 6			
	months (RCT); study also reports		7) Pain control: NR	
	a 5-year open-label followup after			
	the RCT component	Baseline severity: For the	8) Clinical remission:	
		double-blind period (mean [SD]):	Inactive disease in 30% of abatacept vs.	
	Primary outcome(s):	Active joint count:	11% controls (p = 0.02)	
	Time to flare (30% or more in at	Abatacept: 18.2 (11.5)		
	least 3 of 6 core variables, with at		9) Flare of disease:	
	least 30% improvement in no	· · · · ·	By ACR Pediatric 30 criteria, after 6 months	
	more than 1 variable)	Duration of disease:	of RCT or time of flare for those who did not	
		Abatacept: 3.8 (3.7) years	complete, 82% in the abatacept improved	
	Secondary outcome(s):	Placebo: 3.9 (3.5) years	compared with 69% in the placebo ( $p =$	
	ACR Pediatric 30, 50, 70, and 90		0.17)	
		CHAQ disability index:	0.11)	
		Abatacept: 1.3 (0.7)	By ACR Ped 50, 77% in abatacept	
		Placebo: 1.2 (0.8)	improved, compared with 52% in controls (p	
		1 100000. 1.2 (0.0)	< 0.01)	
		Parent global assessment:	< 0.01)	
		Abatacept: 41.8 (22.5)	By ACR Ped 70, 53% in abatacept	
		Placebo: 39.9 (24.7)	improved, compared with 31% placebo ( $p = 0.02$ )	
		ESR:	0.02)	
		Abatacept: 31.4 (27.7)	By ACR Ped 90, 40% in abatacept	
		Placebo: 30.8 (26.9)	improved, compared with 16% in placebo (p	
			< 0.01)	
		Percentage with uveitis: None		
			10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
		Inclusion criteria:	- Remission of disease: None during RCT	
		- 6-17 years	- Inefficacy: 10	
		- JIA	<ul> <li>Intolerance/AEs: None during RCT</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>At least 5 active joints</li> </ul>		
		- Active disease (at least 2 active	11) Mortality: None	
		joints and 2 joints with limited		
		ROM)	12) Adverse events reported?:	
		- Inadequate response to or	Yes	
		intolerance to at least one	During the run-in: 25 headache (13%), 19	
		DMARD (including etanercept,	nausea (10%), 17 cough (9%), 17 diarrhea	
		infliximbab, adalimumab)	(9%), 14 upper respiratory tract infection	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
			(7%), 12 fever (6%), 8 infusional AEs	
		Exclusion criteria:		
		- Active uveitis	During the RCT: No serious AEs for those	
		<ul> <li>Major concurrent medical</li> </ul>	with abatacept	
		conditions		
		<ul> <li>Pregnant or lactating</li> </ul>		
		- No live vaccine within 3 months		
		of the first dose of study		
		medication		
		- Intraarticular injections 4 weeks		
		before enrollment or throughout		
		the trial		• •
Silverman,	Geographical location: US	Number of patients:	1a) Active joint count (mean change	General comments:
Cawkwell,	Ctudu dataa, ND	- Screened for inclusion: NR	[SE], median):	- Small sample size led to
Lovell, et	Study dates: NR	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	IVIG: 3 (5), -2	heterogeneity
al., 1994	Funding courses Poytor	- Randomized: 31	Placebo: 1.5 (3.6), -1	- High dropout rate (50%)
#914	Funding source: Baxter HealthCare, American Red	<ul> <li>Began treatment: NR</li> <li>Completed treatment: 15</li> </ul>	1b) Overall severity (mean change [SE],	Quality assessment:
#914	Cross, Children's Hospital	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:		Primary efficacy outcome:
	Research Foundation of	1 dropout in placebo group, 1	IVIG: 21.4 (26.5), -5.5	- Overall rating: Poor.
	Cincinnati, The Arthritis	placebo who did not meet	Placebo: 5.1 (18.9), -18	- Comments: Method not
	Foundation	eligibility criteria, 6 in each group		described or validated; small
		because treatment insufficient. 1	2) Quality of life/functional status: NR	sample size
	Setting: 9 sites in the US	in placebo for logistical reasons,	_, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _	
		1 due to AE (noninfectious	3) Number of joints with limited range of	Adverse events:
	Study design: RCT	hepatitis)	motion: NR	- Overall rating: Poor
	,,			- Comments: Rating was used to
	Intervention(s):	Age:	4) Global assessment of current status:	assign likelihood that the AE was
	- DMARD name: IVIG	IVIG	By physician: 50% of the IVIG and 27% of	related to IVIG; no AE data
	- Dose: 1.5 g/kg, max 75 g every	- Mean (SD): 8.85 (1.3)	the placebo improved (p > 0.3)	reported for the placebo group
	2 weeks for the first 2 months	- Median: 8.32		
	then monthly for an additional 4		By patient/parent: NR	Applicability: Poor (small sample
	months	Placebo		size)
	- Titration: NR	- Mean (SD): 9.07 (1.2)	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	- N: 14	- Median: 8.53	NR	
	Comparator(s):	Sex:	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	Placebo	IVIG	of disease: NR	
	N: 17	- Female: 5		
		- Male: 9	7) Pain control: NR	

Study	Interventions and	Patient	Results	Comments/
	study design	characteristics		quality/applicability
	Were additional arthritis	Placebo		
	medications allowed?: Yes:	- Female: 7	8) Clinical remission: NR	
	- No more than 2 NSAIDs and up	- Male: 10		
	to 2 SAARDs – NR whether		9) Flare of disease: NR	
	these were given per protocol or	Race/ethnicity: NR		
	at the discretion of the clinician/		10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
	investigator;	JIA diagnosis: Systemic JRA	- Remission of disease: None	
	- Corticosteroids: 2 arms, either		- Inefficacy: 6 in each group	
	no steroids or steroid tapering,	Baseline severity:	- Intolerance/AEs: 1 (IVIG)	
	given per protocol	Active joint count:		
	9 F F	IVIG: 11.8 (3.2)	11) Mortality: None	
	Study duration: 6 months	Placebo: 16.8 (3.5)		
			12) Adverse events reported?:	
	Primary outcome(s):	Duration of disease:	Yes	
	Physician's global assessment	IVIG: 1.55 (0.8) years	4 patients in IVIG group had 10 AEs, of	
	r nysician's giobal assessment	Placebo: 1.89 (0.5) years	which 6 were considered probably or	
	Secondary outcome(s):	1 lacebo. 1.09 (0.5) years	possibly treatment-related. 9/10 were chills,	
	- Joint count	Sum of severity scores for	fever, emesis, or headache; 1 was hepatitis.	
	- Hemoglobin	swelling, pain on motion,	Most AEs were infusion-related.	
	- Albumin		MOST AES WERE ITTUSION-TEIATEU.	
		tenderness, and limitation of		
	- Platelet count	motion:		
	- ESR	IVIG: 48.1 (11.1)		
		Placebo: 78.5 (17.4)		
		Percentage with uveitis: NR		
		Inclusion criteria:		
		- Active, refractory systemic JRA,		
		- At least 1 day of fever of 38.5 or		
		greater within 30 days before		
		enrollment		
		- At least 1 of the following: Hb <		
		10.5 g/dL, albumin < 35 mg/dL,		
		ESR > 20 mm/h, platelet count >		
		450,000		
		- Active articular disease		
		Exclusion criteria:		
		Intraarticular steroids		

Silverman, Geogr Mouy, Multina Spiegel, et al., 2005 Study #383 Fundin Setting Study Interve - DMA lefluno - Dose loading	hational y dates: NR ling source: Sanofi-Aventis ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	- Completed treatment: 86	<ol> <li>Active joint count: At 16 weeks: -8.1 in leflunomide group versus -8.9 in methotrexate group (NS)</li> <li>Quality of life/functional status: At 16 weeks: ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)</li> <li>Median time to ACR Pedi 30 response was</li> </ol>	quality/applicability         General comments: Lacks         placebo group         Quality assessment:         Primary efficacy outcome:         - Overall rating: Good         - Comments: Percent improvement         index lacks validation         Adverse events:
Mouy, Spiegel, et al., 2005 #383 Fundin Setting Study Interve - DMA lefluno - Dose loading	hational y dates: NR ling source: Sanofi-Aventis ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	<ul> <li>Screened for inclusion: 103</li> <li>Eligible for inclusion: 94</li> <li>Randomized: 94</li> <li>Began treatment: 47 in each group</li> <li>Completed treatment: 86 completed 16-week study and 54 completed 48-week extension</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the</li> </ul>	At 16 weeks: -8.1 in leflunomide group versus -8.9 in methotrexate group (NS) <b>2) Quality of life/functional status:</b> At 16 weeks: ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	placebo group Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome: - Overall rating: Good - Comments: Percent improvement index lacks validation
Spiegel, et al., 2005 Study #383 Fundin Setting Study Interve - DMA lefluno - Dose loading	y dates: NR ling source: Sanofi-Aventis ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	<ul> <li>Eligible for inclusion: 94</li> <li>Randomized: 94</li> <li>Began treatment: 47 in each group</li> <li>Completed treatment: 86 completed 16-week study and 54 completed 48-week extension</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the</li> </ul>	versus -8.9 in methotrexate group (NS) <b>2) Quality of life/functional status:</b> At 16 weeks: ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome: - Overall rating: Good - Comments: Percent improvement index lacks validation
al., 2005 Study #383 Fundin Setting Study Interve - DMA lefluno - Dose loading	ling source: Sanofi-Aventis ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	<ul> <li>Randomized: 94</li> <li>Began treatment: 47 in each group</li> <li>Completed treatment: 86 completed 16-week study and 54 completed 48-week extension</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the</li> </ul>	2) Quality of life/functional status: At 16 weeks: ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	Primary efficacy outcome: - Overall rating: Good - Comments: Percent improvement index lacks validation
#383 Fundin Setting Study Interve - DMA lefluno - Dose loading	ling source: Sanofi-Aventis ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	<ul> <li>Began treatment: 47 in each group</li> <li>Completed treatment: 86 completed 16-week study and 54 completed 48-week extension</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the</li> </ul>	At 16 weeks: ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	Primary efficacy outcome: - Overall rating: Good - Comments: Percent improvement index lacks validation
Setting Study Interve - DMAI Iefluno - Dose Ioading	ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	group - Completed treatment: 86 completed 16-week study and 54 completed 48-week extension - Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the	At 16 weeks: ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	<ul> <li>Overall rating: Good</li> <li>Comments: Percent improvement index lacks validation</li> </ul>
Setting Study Interve - DMAI Iefluno - Dose Ioading	ng: NR y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	<ul> <li>Completed treatment: 86</li> <li>completed 16-week study and 54</li> <li>completed 48-week extension</li> <li>Withdrawals/losses to followup:</li> <li>For the 16-week study, 3 in the</li> </ul>	ACR Pedi 30 responses were 68% in leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	- Comments: Percent improvement index lacks validation
Study Interve - DMAI Iefluno - Dose Ioading	y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	completed 16-week study and 54 completed 48-week extension - Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the	leflunomide and 89% in methotrexate (p = 0.02)	index lacks validation
Study Interve - DMAI Iefluno - Dose Ioading	y design: RCT vention(s): ARD name: Oral omide	completed 48-week extension - Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the	0.02)	
Interve - DMAI lefluno - Dose loading	<b>vention(s):</b> ARD name: Oral omide	- Withdrawals/losses to followup: For the 16-week study, 3 in the		Adverse events:
Interve - DMAI lefluno - Dose loading	<b>vention(s):</b> ARD name: Oral omide	For the 16-week study, 3 in the	Median time to ACR Pedi 30 response was	Adverse events:
- DMA lefluno - Dose loading	ARD name: Oral omide		Median time to ACR Pedi 30 response was	
- DMA lefluno - Dose loading	ARD name: Oral omide	methotrexate group withdrew (1		- Overall rating: Good
- DMA lefluno - Dose loading	ARD name: Oral omide		52 days in leflunomide and 56 days in	č
- Dose loading		AE, 1 lack of efficacy, 1 lost), 5 in		Applicability: Good
- Dose loading		the leflunomide group withdrew	5 - 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
loading	e: if < 20 kg, 100 mg	(3 AEs, 1 lack of efficacy, 1	ACR Pedi 50 responses were 60% in	
	ng x 1 day and then 10 mg	declined to take drug). For the	leflunomide and 77% in methotrexate (p =	
0,019,0		extension, in the methotrexate		
maloa		group, 7 did not enroll (3 at	0.1)	
		nonparticipating site, 2 for lack of		
	ays, then 20 mg daily	efficacy, 2 declined consent). In		
x 0 uay	ays, then 20 mg daily	the leflunomide group, 9 did not	leflunomide and 60% in methotrexate (p =	
Comp	parator(s):	enroll (4 at nonparticpating site, 4	0.14)	
	methotrexate 0.5	lack of efficacy, 1 declined		
	g/week (max 25 mg), and	consent).	Mean percent improvement index -44.41 for	
		consent).	leflunomide and -52.87 for methotrexate (p	
placeb	00	A	= 0.18)	
Wara		Age:		
	additional arthritis	Leflunomide:	CHAQ: -0.44 in leflunomide group and	
	cations allowed?: Yes:	- Mean (SD): 10.1 (4.0)	-0.39 in methotrexate group	
	acid or folinic acid	- Median: 11		
		- Range: 3-17	Similar findings described for the extension	
	ichanged), up to 2 doses of			
	rticular corticosteroid – all	Methotrexate:	3) Number of joints with limited range of	
	at the discretion of the	- Mean (SD): 10.2 (3.8)	motion:	
clinicia	ian/investigator	- Median: 11	-5.2 in leflunomide group vs5.3 in	
		- Range: 3-17	methotrexate group (NS)	
	y duration:			
16 wee	eeks with an optional 32-	Sex:	4) Global assessment of current status:	
week e	extension	Leflunomide:	Change at 16 weeks:	
		- Female: 75%	By physician: Leflunomide -31.5,	
Prima		- Male: 26%	methotrexate -32.1 (overlapping 95% CIs)	

- ACR Pedi 30 - Percent Improvement Index (mean of the percent changes from baseline in each core set of disease activity measures, with negative values indicating improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	Race/ethnicity:	By patient/parent: Leflunomide -15.9 methotrexate -22.0 <b>5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:</b> ESR: Decrease in leflunomide group -6.5; decrease in methotrexate group -7.2 (non- significant) C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	quality/applicability
- Percent Improvement Index (mean of the percent changes from baseline in each core set of disease activity measures, with negative values indicating improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	<ul> <li>Female: 72%</li> <li>Male: 28%</li> <li>Race/ethnicity: Leflunomide:</li> <li>White: 87%</li> <li>Black: 2%</li> </ul>	methotrexate -22.0 <b>5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:</b> ESR: Decrease in leflunomide group -6.5; decrease in methotrexate group -7.2 (non- significant) C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	
(mean of the percent changes from baseline in each core set of disease activity measures, with negative values indicating improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	<ul> <li>Female: 72%</li> <li>Male: 28%</li> <li>Race/ethnicity: Leflunomide:</li> <li>White: 87%</li> <li>Black: 2%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5) Laboratory measures of inflammation: ESR: Decrease in leflunomide group -6.5; decrease in methotrexate group -7.2 (non- significant)</li> <li>C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in</li> </ul>	
from baseline in each core set of disease activity measures, with negative values indicating improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	- Male: 28% Race/ethnicity: Leflunomide: - White: 87% - Black: 2%	ESR: Decrease in leflunomide group -6.5; decrease in methotrexate group -7.2 (non- significant) C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	
disease activity measures, with negative values indicating improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	Race/ethnicity: Leflunomide: - White: 87% - Black: 2%	ESR: Decrease in leflunomide group -6.5; decrease in methotrexate group -7.2 (non- significant) C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	
negative values indicating improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	Leflunomide: - White: 87% - Black: 2%	decrease in methotrexate group -7.2 (non- significant) C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	
improvement and positive values set to 0 indicating no improvement)	Leflunomide: - White: 87% - Black: 2%	significant) C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	
set to 0 indicating no improvement)	- White: 87% - Black: 2%	C-reactive protein: decreased -3.9 in	
improvement)	- Black: 2%		
	- Asian: 2%		
Secondary outcome(s):		leflunomide group vs11.4 in methotrexate	
	- Other: 9%	group ( $p = 0.04$ )	
- Rates of ACR Pedi 50 and ACR			
Pedi 70 responses	Methotrexate:	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
- Time to an ACR Pedi 30	- White: 74%	of disease: NR	
response	- Black: 4%		
- Area under the curve analyses	- Asian: 0%	7) Pain control: NR	
- Mean changes in the core set of			
disease activity measures and		8) Clinical remission: NR	
	JIA diagnosis: JRA		
concentrations	C	9) Flare of disease: NR	
	Baseline severity:		
	Active joint count:	10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
	- Leflunomide: 14.4 (7.9)	- Remission of disease: NR	
	- Methotrexate: 14.0 (9.9)	- Inefficacy: 1 in methotrexate group and 1	
		in leflunomide group during the first 16	
	Duration of disease:	weeks; 2 in the methotrexate group during	
	- Leflunomide: 1.69 (3.21)	the extension; 4 in the leflunomide group	
	- Methotrexate: 1.37 (1.97)	during the extension	
		- Intolerance/AEs: 1 in the methotrexate	
	ESR:	group during the first 16 weeks, 3 in the	
	- Leflunomide: 30.8 (18.2)	leflunomide group during the first 16 weeks	
	- Methotrexate: 34.5 (21.7)		
	,	11) Mortality: None	
	Percentage with uveitis: NR	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		12) Adverse events reported?:	
	Inclusion criteria:	Yes	
	- Active polyarticular disease	In the first 16 weeks leading to withdrawal:	
	- Not received methotrexate or	1 methotrexate = LFT abnormalities	
	leflunomide	1 leflunomide = LFT abnormalities	
	- Sexually active female patients	1 leflunomide = parapsoriasis	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
		negative serum pregnancy	1 leflunomide = Crohn's disease (not	
		studies throughout the study	thought to be related)	
		Exclusion criteria:	Other serious AEs	
		- ACR Functional class IV disease	Leflunomide: 1 with suspected salmonellosis	
		<ul> <li>Active systemic symptoms within 4 weeks before entry</li> <li>Persistent or severe infection within 3 months before entry</li> <li>Inflammatory disease other then JRA or a history of such a</li> </ul>	None in the methotrexate group	
		disease		
Smith,	Geographical location:	Number of patients: N = 12	1) Active joint count: NR	General comments:
Thomp-	Bethesda, MD	- Screened for inclusion: 24		- Uveitis patients only
son,	<b>C</b> (	- Eligible for inclusion: 12	2) Quality of life/functional status: NR	- Pilot study
Whitcup,	Study dates: Sep 17,1999-Sep	- Randomized: 12 (7 to DMARD,	0) Normalises of interactive limits of some of	
	28, 2001 (enrollment)	5 to placebo) - Began treatment: 12	3) Number of joints with limited range of motion: NR	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome:
#400	Funding source: Immunex Corp			- Overall rating: Fair
		- Withdrawals/losses to followup:	4) Global assessment of current status:	- Comments: Small sample size;
	Setting: NIH	0	- Physician: NR - Patient/Parent: NR	potential conflict from sponsor
	Study design: 1year duration –	Age:		Adverse events: Fair
	2 phases:	Mean (SD): 11	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	1 <sup>st</sup> phase: RCT, double-blind	Median: 11	NR	potential conflict from sponsor
	2 <sup>nd</sup> phase: Single arm, open-	Range: 6-15 years		
	label		6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	Randomized 2:1	Sex:	of disease: NR	only ophthalmic outcomes
	etanercept/placebo	Female: 9 (75%)		
		Male: 3 (25%)	7) Pain control: NR	
	Interventions:			
	DMARD name: Etanercept	Race/ethnicity:	8) Clinical remission: NR	
	Dose: 0.4mg/kg twice weekly	Hispanic: 4 (33.3%)		
	N: 7	Black: 1 (8.3%) White: 6 (50%)	9) Flare of disease: NR	
	Comparator:	Pacific Islander: 1 (8.3%)	10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
	Placebo		- Remission of disease: NR	
	N: 5	JIA diagnosis: JRA	- Inefficacy: 1 - Intolerance/AE: 0	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	Were additional arthritis medications allowed: Yes, if	Baseline severity: NR	11) Mortality: None	
	stable MTX and prednisone and at the discretion of the clinician/investigator	Percentage with uveitis: 100% Inclusion criteria:	12) Adverse events reported?: Yes	
	Study Duration: 1 year	- 2-18 years of age - ACR criteria for JRA - Active uveitis	<b>13) Ophthalmic outcomes:</b> Successful outcome: 6 months DMARD: 6/12	
	<b>Primary outcome:</b> Ophthalmic outcomes: - Reduction of anterior chamber	- No change in arthritis meds for at least 8 weeks prior	12 months DMARD: 6/12 12 months DMARD: 4/7 6 months placebo: 2/5	
	cells to 0 or trace while using steroids < 3x/day - 50% reduction in number or dose of other anti-inflammatory medication	Exclusion criteria: - Media opacities - Periocular injections of steroids within 2 months - DMARD therapy except MTX or prednisone	Failures: 6 months DMARD: 1/12 12 months DMARD: 1/7 6 months placebo: 1/5	
	Secondary outcomes: - 10-letter change in best corrected visual acuity - 2-step change in anterior chamber cell count, vitreous haze, or anterior chamber cells - Presence of cystoid macular edema	- Spondylarthropathy/enthesitis		
/an Rossum, Fiselier,	<b>Geographical location:</b> 7 pediatric rheumatology centers in The Netherlands	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	1) Active joint count: Mean (SEM) change (uncertain if this is baseline to 24 weeks or incorporates all	General comments: Pain scores not reported, but number of painful joints reported
ranssen, t al., 1998 798	<b>Study dates:</b> Aug 1992 – Dec 1994	<ul> <li>Randomized: 69</li> <li>Began treatment: 69</li> <li>Completed treatment: 52</li> </ul>	assessments): SSZ: -5.54 (1.16) PL: -0.78 (1.22) P = 0.005	Quality assessment: Primary efficacy outcome: - Overall rating: Good
	Funding source: NR	- Withdrawals/losses to followup: 17 (1 excluded	2) Quality of life/functional status: NR	Adverse events:
	Setting: Pediatric rheumatology centers	postrandomization, not eligible)	3) Number of joints with limited range of	- Overall rating: Good
	Study design: RCT	Age: - Mean (SD): SSZ: 8.4 (4.4)	<b>motion:</b> Mean (SEM) change (uncertain if this is baseline to 24 weeks or incorporates all	Applicability: Non-USA
	Intervention(s):	Placebo 9.7 (3.6)	assessments):	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	- DMARD name: Sulfasalazine	- Range:	SSZ: -2.49 (1.12)	quanty/approability
	(SSZ)	SSZ: 2.5-17.6	PL: -1.97 (0.80)	
	- Dose: 50 mg/kg/day in 2 doses;	Placebo: 2.5-15.1	P = 0.64	
	max 2000 mg/day	1 100000. 2.0 10.1	1 = 0.04	
	- Titration: ¼ total dose,	Sex:	4) Global assessment of current status:	
	increased weekly by ¼'s until	- Female:	Mean (SEM) change (uncertain if this is	
	target dose reached. Dose could	SSZ: 23 (66%)	baseline to 24 weeks or incorporates all	
	be modified to highest dose	Placebo: 23 (68%)	assessments):	
	tolerated, but no less than 50%	- Male:	By physician:	
	of initial prescribed dose.	SSZ: 12 (34%)	SSZ: -1.95 (0.18)	
	- N: 35	Placebo: 11 (32%)	PL: -0.99 (0.19)	
	- 11. 55	Tiacebo: TT (5276)	P = 0.0002	
	Comparator(s):	Race/ethnicity: NR	1 = 0.0002	
	Placebo, $N = 34$	Race/etimolog. NR	By patient:	
	Flacebo, $N = 54$	JIA diagnosis: JCA	SSZ: -0.92 (0.18)	
	Were additional arthritis	JIA diagnosis. JOA	PL: -0.24 (0.18)	
	medications allowed?: Yes	Baseline severity:	P = 0.008	
		Active joint count (median	F = 0.000	
	<ul> <li>NSAIDS continued in type and dose</li> </ul>	[range]): 5 (2-11) SSZ; 7 (3-12)	Py parant:	
		PL	By parent:	
	- Corticosteroids (oral or	FL	SSZ: -0.98 (0.14)	
	intraarticular) and other	Dereentere with uveities ND	PL: -0.44 (0.16)	
	DMARDS not permitted - Other therapy considered	Percentage with uveitis: NR	P = 0.010	
	necessary for patient's welfare	Inclusion criteria:	5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
	allowed at the discretion of the	<ul> <li>Met EULAR criteria for</li> </ul>	ESR (mm/hour):	
	clinician/investigator	oligoarticular- or polyarticular-	SSZ: -0.74 (0.07)	
		onset JCA	PL: -0.04 (0.08)	
	Study duration: 24 weeks	- Age between 2-18 years, with onset of JCA before age 16	P < 0.0001	
	Primary outcome(s): Response,	- At least 1 joint with active	- Other: CRP given	
	defined as ≥ 2 grade	arthritis (defined as the presence	-	
	improvement in joint swelling	of swelling or limitation of motion,	6) Radiographic evidence of progression	
	severity score or score of 0 in ≥	with either pain on movement or	of disease:	
	50% of joints involved at baseline	tenderness)	Mean number of improved joints:	
	and, if applicable, development	- An insufficient response to	SSZ: 0.71 (range, 0-3)	
	of disease activity in ≤ 10% of the		PL: 0.53 (range 0-3)	
	other joints, with the restriction	dosage for at least 3 months and,		
	that the number of deteriorated	if applicable, to intraarticular		
	joints had to be ≤ 50% of the	corticosteroid injections	7) Pain control: NR	
	number of improved joints	- Intraarticular corticosteroid	-	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
		injections were not permitted 8	8) Clinical remission ("response"):	······
	Secondary outcome(s):	weeks prior to the start of the	Can be estimated from graph at multiple	
	- Overall articular severity score	study	time points. At 24 weeks:	
	(sum of swelling, tenderness/pain	- There was a 4-week washout	SSZ: 69% (9% SEM)	
	and limitation of movement scores)	period for DMARDs	PL: 45% (9% SEM)	
	,	Exclusion criteria:	No significant difference for oligoarticular-	
	disease activity (1-5)	- Previous treatment with SSZ	and polyarticular-onset patients.	
	- Parent's general impression of	- Known hypersensitivity to sulfa	and polyanicular-onset patients.	
		51 5	Davia aritaria far improvement:	
	disease activity (1-5)	preparations or salicylates	Pavia criteria for improvement:	
	- Physician's general impression	- Known glucose-6-phosphate	SSZ: 44% (9% SEM)	
	of disease activity (0-5)	dehydrogenase deficiency or	PL: 21% (8% SEM)	
	- ESR, C-reactive protein	porphyria		
	<ul> <li>Radiological evaluation</li> </ul>	- Leukopenia < 3.0a10 <sup>9</sup> /L or	9) Flare of disease: NR	
		granulopenia < 1.0x10 <sup>9</sup> /L or		
		thrombocytopenia < 100x10 <sup>9</sup> /L	10) Discontinuation of DNRMARD due to:	
		- Liver transaminase levels more	<ul> <li>Remission of disease: NR</li> </ul>	
		than twice the upper limit of	- Inefficacy: 3 (all PL)	
		normal	- Intolerance/AEs: 10 (all on SSZ)	
		- Renal impairment, defined as	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		creatinine clearance < 90	11) Mortality: NR	
		mL/minute/1.73m <sup>2</sup> (determined	,	
		as an elevated serum creatinine	12) Adverse events reported?:	
		level more than 2 SD above the	Yes	
		mean value for age)	163	
		- Unwillingness or inability of	13) Medication compliance:	
		parent/children to adhere to the protocol	> 80% for 83% of subjects	
		- Females who might become		
		pregnant and if sexually active,		
		not practicing effective birth		
		control		
Woo,	Geographical location: UK and	Number of patients: N = 88	1) Global assessment of current status:	General comments: None
South-	France	<ul> <li>Screened for inclusion: NR</li> </ul>	When analyzed separately, no statistically	
wood,		- Eligible for inclusion: 88	significant differences between MTX and	Quality assessment:
Prieur, et	Study dates: NR	- Randomized: 88	placebo; when combined, statistically	Primary efficacy outcome:
al., 2000	-	- Began treatment: 88	significant improvement with MTX	- Overall rating: Good
,	Funding source: Supported by	- Completed treatment: 79		- Comments: Cross-over with
#693	Arthritis Research Campaign	- Withdrawals/losses to followup:	Assessment by physician:	adequate washout; validated
		9 (7 from systemic group, 2 from	MTX (EOA/systemic):	and quarter materies of randolog

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	tablets provided by Lederle	EOA = extended oligoarticular	Very active: 28%/28%, -23/-15	
	Laboratories	arthritis)	Mildly active: 21/28%, +50/+43	Adverse events:
			········	- Overall rating: Good
	Setting: NR	Age:	Placebo (EOA/systemic)	0
	5	- Mean ± SD (range):	Very active: 24%/33%, -6/-14	Applicability:
	Study design: RCT, double-	EOA:	Mildly active: 32/23%, +11/+10	- Study outside US- may be mor
	blind, cross-over design	Male: 7.4 ± 3.0 (5.0-11.7)	P < 0.001	homogeneous population
		Female: 8.53 ± 3.43 (3.3-15.5)		- Long duration of disease at
	Intervention(s):	Systemic:	Assessment by parent:	baseline (average 3-4.4 years)
	- DMARD name: Methotrexate	Male: 8.5 ± 3.3 (3.7-14.1)	MTX (EOA/systemic):	
	- Dose: 15 mg/m <sup>2</sup> PO weekly	Female: 8.0 ± 4.25 (2.5-15.7)	Very active: 29%/26%, -22/-15	
	- Titration: increase to 20 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	· · ·	Mildly active: 19/32%, +50/+35	
	after 2 months if no	Sex (male):	-	
	improvements in global	EOA: 5 (12%)	Placebo (EOA/systemic):	
	- N: Goal 44 per group; actual 43	Systemic: 22 (49%)	Very active: 29%/30%, -14/-19	
	and 45		Mildly active: 27/32%, +11/+4	
		Race/ethnicity: NR	P < 0.001	
	Comparator(s):			
	Placebo	JIA diagnosis:	Assessment by patient:	
		JIA: extended oligoarticular and	MTX (EOA/systemic):	
	Were additional arthritis	systemic	Very active: 28%/31%, -18/-24	
	medications allowed?: Yes:		Mildly active: 13/41%, +39/+28	
	Prednisolone, steroid injections,	Baseline severity:		
	and NSAIDs	Active arthritis in past 3 months:	Placebo (EOA/systemic)	
		EOA: 45 (100%)	Very active: 26%/31%, -13/-17	
	NR whether these were added	Systemic: 43 (96%)	Mildly active: 29/24%, +11/10	
	per protocol or at the discretion			
	of clinician/investigator	Duration of disease (months):	Systemic core features (outcome =	
		EOA: 53.8 (4-132)	systemic score of 0):	
	Study duration: 12 months (4	Systemic: 33.7 (4-116)	MTX (start/end): 32%/61%	
	months treatment, 2 months		Placebo (start/end): 27%/45%	
	washout, 4 months treatment, 2	Percentage with uveitis: NR		
	months washout)		2) Limited joint range:	
		Inclusion criteria:	Treatment effect (mean [SEM]):	
	Primary outcome(s):	- Under 16 years of age	EOM: 4.47 (3.67)	
	- > 30% improvement in 3 or	- Fulfilled the ILAR/WHO criteria	Systemic: 2.57 (6.68)	
	more core variables and > 30%	for systemic or extended		
	worsening in no more than 1	oligoarticular arthritis	3) Limited joint score:	
			Treatment effect (mean [SEM]):	
	Core clinical variables: Physician	Exclusion criteria: NR	EOA: -3.0 (1.8)	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	global, parent/child global,		Systemic: -3.3 (3.5)	
	number of joints with active			
	disease, range of joint motion		4) Laboratory measures of inflammation:	
			ESR (baseline mean [SD], treatment effect	
	For systemics, 8 core measures		mean [SEM]):	
	were: Rash; fever; cervical,		EOA: 49 (28), -16.6 (3.6)	
	axillary, ingunial		Systemic: 57 (31), -12.4 (6.5)	
	lymphadenopathy;			
	hepatomegaly; splenomegaly;		C-reactive protein (baseline mean,	
	pericarditis		treatment effect mean [SEM]):	
	<b>•</b> • • • • • •		EOA: 2.7, -45% (-27%)	
	Secondary outcome(s):		Systemic: 6.9, -29%(-51%)	
	- Steroid dose			
	- For systemics, presence of		5) Steroid dose (mg/day, baseline mean	
	systemic features		[SD], treatment effect mean [SEM]):	
			EOM: 1.2 (2.4), -0.012 (0.012)	
			Systemic: 11.6 (6.5), -0.55 (0.92)	
			6) Overall clinical improvement	
			(MTX/placebo)	
			EOA: 48/18	
			Systemic: 25/16	
			7) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
			- Inefficacy: 6 systemic, 1 EOA	
			- Intolerance/AEs: 1 systemic, 1 EOA	
			8) Mortality: NR	
			9) Adverse events reported?: Yes	
Yokota,	Geographical location: Japan	Number of patients: N = 56	1) Active joint count, median (range):	General comments: None
Imagawa,		- Screened for inclusion: NR	- Lead-in phase:	0
	Study dates: NR	- Eligible for inclusion: NR	- Baseline: 4 (0-39)	Quality assessment:
2008		- Began lead-in phase: 56	- 6 weeks: 0 (0-34)	Primary efficacy outcome:
#400	Funding source: Chugai	- Completed lead-in phase: 50	- Improvement: 73%	- Overall rating: Fair
#138	Pharmaceuticals supplied study	- Randomized: 44	- RCT, placebo (N = $23$ ):	- Comments: Potential for
	medication and was responsible	- Began RCT phase: 43 (23	- Baseline: 4 (0-21)	significant conflict of interest, given
	for data processing and	placebo; 20 tocilizumab)	- Last observation: 0 (0-34)	that the data were analyzed by the
	management, statistical analysis,		- RCT, tocilizumab (N = 20):	sponsor of the study, which has a
	and reporting of serious adverse	- Began extension phase: 50 (44	- Baseline: 3.5 (0-18)	financial interest in tocilizumab;

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	events	randomized, plus 6 not	- Last observation: 0 (0-4)	screening and randomization
		randomized)	- Extension phase:	procedures not described
	Setting: 8 university hospitals	- Withdrawals:	- 48 weeks: 0 (0-4)	
	and children's hospitals in Japan	- Lead-in phase: 6/56 (3	- Improvement: 88%	Adverse events:
		antibodies; 2 AEs; 1 lack of		- Overall rating: Fair
	Study design: RCT, double-	efficacy)	2) Quality of life/functional status:	- Comments: Same issues as
	blind, multicenter, withdrawal	- RCT placebo: 19 (1 AE; 18	CHAQ score, median (range):	above
	design	early escape)	- Lead-in phase:	
	g.:-	- RCT tocilizumab: 4 (1 AE; 3	- Baseline: 0.88 (0-3)	Applicability: No significant
	Intervention(s):	early escape)	- 6 weeks: 0.38 (0-3)	issues
	- DMARD name: Tocilizumab	- Extension phase: 2 withdrawn	- Improvement: 43%	
	- Dose: 8 mg/kg IV every 2	because of AE	- RCT, placebo (N = 23):	
	weeks	- Loss to followup: 0	- Baseline: 0.63 (0-3)	
	- Titration: None		- Last observation: 0.38 (0-3)	
	- N: 20	Age:	- RCT, tocilizumab (N = $20$ ):	
		- Mean (SD): 8.3 (4.4)	- Baseline: 0.88 (0-2.38)	
	Comparator(s):	- Range: 2-19 years	- Last observation: 0.38 (0-1.63)	
	Placebo		- Extension phase:	
	- N: 23	Sex:	- 48 weeks: 0.13 (0-2.13)	
	11.20	- Female: 35 (62.5%)	- Improvement: 67%	
	Were additional arthritis	- Male: 21 (37.5%)		
	medications allowed?: Some:		3) Number of joints with limited range of	
	- Not allowed: Intraarticular	Race/ethnicity: NR	motion, median (range):	
	corticosteroids,	······	- Lead-in phase:	
	methylprednisolone,	JIA diagnosis: JIA	- Baseline: 0.5 (0-47)	
	immunosuppressive drugs, TNF	•	- 6 weeks: 0 (0-45)	
	agents, and other DMARDs	Baseline severity:	- Improvement: 54%	
	- Doses of oral corticosteroids	Active joint count (median	- RCT, placebo (N = $23$ ):	
	had to be stable for 2 weeks	[range]):	- Baseline: 0 (0-37)	
	before the trial	Start of lead-in phase: 4 (0-39)	- Last observation: 0 (0-42)	
		Start of RCT phase, placebo: 4	- RCT, tocilizumab (N = 20):	
	Study duration:	(0-21)	- Baseline: 0.5 (0-47)	
	Open-label lead-in phase: 6	Start of RCT phase, tocilizumab:	- Last observation: 0 (0-46)	
	weeks	3.5 (0-18)	- Extension phase:	
	RCT phase: 12 weeks		- 48 weeks: 0 (0-62)	
	Open-label extension phase: 48	Duration of disease, years (SD):	- Improvement: 72%	
	weeks	Placebo: 4.7 (4.0)		
		Tocilizumab: 4.6 (3.5)	4) Global assessment of current status:	
	Patients had to achieve an ACR		- Physician, visual analog scale, 0 mm	
	Pedi 30 response and CRP	Past treatments (number [SD]):	(best) to 100 mm (worst), median (range):	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	concentrations < 5 mg/L at end of lead-in phase to be eligible for RCT phase Primary outcome(s): Proportion of patients who maintained an ACR Pedi 30 response and CRP concentrations < 15 mg/L Secondary outcome(s): - ACR Pedi responses, systemic feature score, and CRP assessed every 2 weeks - Active disease defined by an increase in CRP and an inadequate response to corticosteroids for longer than 3 months - Safety monitored by physical exam daily during hospital stay	Placebo: 2.0 (1.0) Tocilizumab: 2.1 (1.0) <b>Percentage with uveitis:</b> NR <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> - 2-19 years of age - Onset of disease before 16 <sup>th</sup> birthday - Met the ILAR classification criteria for systemic-onset JIA <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> - Important concurrent medical or surgical disorders - Leucopenia (< 3.5x10 <sup>9</sup> /L) or thrombocytopenia (< 100x10 <sup>9</sup> /L) - Cardiac disease (assessed by a pediatric cardiologist before enrollment) - Developed macrophage- activation syndrome during the prestudy hospital admission	<ul> <li>Patient or parent's, visual analog scale, 0 mm (best) to 100 mm (worst), median (range): <ul> <li>Lead-in phase:</li> <li>Baseline: 53 (0-90)</li> <li>6 weeks: 13.5 (0-69)</li> <li>Improvement: 63%</li> </ul> </li> <li>RCT, placebo (N = 23): <ul> <li>Baseline: 55 (18-85)</li> <li>Last observation: 39 (2-94)</li> </ul> </li> <li>RCT, tocilizumab (N = 20): <ul> <li>Baseline: 51.5 (0-76)</li> <li>Last observation: 4.5 (0-34)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Extension phase: <ul> <li>48 weeks: 8.5 (0-70)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Improvement: 75%</li> <li>5) Laboratory measures of inflammation:</li> <li>ESR, mm/h (range):</li> <li>Lead-in phase:</li> <li>Baseline: 44.5 (8-125)</li> <li>6 weeks: 4.0 (0-64)</li> <li>Improvement: 82%</li> <li>RCT, placebo (N = 23):</li> <li>Baseline: 35 (8-68)</li> <li>Last observation: 11 (1-41)</li> </ul>	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
	Study design		- RCT, tocilizumab (N = 20):	quanty/approability
			- Baseline: 39.5 (8-103)	
			- Last observation: 4.0 (0-7)	
			- Extension phase:	
			- 48 weeks: 3.0 (0-12)	
			- Improvement: 91%	
			improvement. 5170	
			- CRP, mg/L (range):	
			- Lead-in phase:	
			- Baseline: 43.5 (16-190)	
			- 6 weeks: 0.5 (0-99)	
			- Improvement: 90%	
			- RCT, placebo (N = 23):	
			- Baseline: 38 (17-131)	
			<ul> <li>Last observation: 15 (0-101)</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>RCT, tocilizumab (N = 20):</li> </ul>	
			- Baseline: 35 (16-190)	
			<ul> <li>Last observation: 0.1 (0-22)</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Extension phase:</li> </ul>	
			- 48 weeks: 0.1 (0-2)	
			- Improvement: 99%	
			6) Radiographic evidence of progressio	n
			of disease: NR	
			7) Pain control: NR	
			8) Clinical remission: NR	
			9) Flare of disease: NR	
			10) Discontinuation of DMARD due to:	
			- Remission of disease: NR	
			- Inefficacy: NR	
			- Intolerance/AEs: Lead-in phase: 2/56	
			(4%); RCT placebo: 1/23 (5%); RCT	
			tocilizumab: 1/20 (5%)	
			Early escape (switched to another	
			medication due to poor response):	

Study	Interventions and study design	Patient characteristics	Results	Comments/ quality/applicability
			- Placebo: 18/23 (78%)	
			- Tocilizumab: 3/20 (15%)	
			"Median time to early escape was 4.9 weeks in the placebo group, but longer tha 12 weeks in the tocilizumab group" (significance test NR)	n
			11) Mortality: None	
			12) Adverse events reported?: Yes	
			13) Other:	
			ACR Pedi Responses:	
			- Lead-in phase, N (%):	
			- ACR Pedi 30: 51 (91%)	
			- ACR Pedi 50: 38 (86%)	
			- ACR Pedi 70: 38 (68%)	
			<ul> <li>Both ACR Pedi 30 response and CRP</li> </ul>	<
			5 mg/L: 44 (79%)	