

## DCHP – Full GRADE evidence profiles

### Contents

DCHP – Full GRADE evidence profiles .....	1
Contents .....	1
Service interventions.....	1
Collaborative care vs. standard care .....	1
Psychiatric liaison vs. standard care .....	4
Multidisciplinary teams vs. standard care.....	5
Psychosocial interventions.....	6
Physical activity versus standard care.....	6
Peer (self-help) support.....	7
Peer (self-help) support versus group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention .....	8
Self-help intervention based on cognitive and behavioural principles versus standard care .....	9
Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care .....	9
Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling.....	10
Group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care .....	11
Group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus other psychosocial interventions (peer support or health education).....	12
Pharmacological Interventions .....	14
SSRI vs Placebo .....	14
TCA vs Placebo.....	15
Mianserin vs Placebo .....	16
SSRIs vs TCAs.....	18

### Service interventions

#### Collaborative care vs. standard care

Quality assessment	Summary of findings
--------------------	---------------------

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							Collaborative care	Any standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Mortality</b>											
9	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	117/1493	121/1506	RR 0.94 (0.74 to 1.19)	5 fewer per 1000 (from 21 fewer to 15 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW
<b>Depression outcome. 1. Non-Response (&lt;50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment</b>											
11	randomised trial	serious <sup>3</sup>	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>5</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	1121/1797	1390/1795	RR 0.82 (0.76 to 0.89)	139 fewer per 1000 (from 85 fewer to 186 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW
<b>Depression outcome. 1. Non-Response (&lt;50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment - removing those with &gt;50% drop out</b>											
8	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	782/1322	1016/1330	RR 0.79 (0.73 to 0.85)	160 fewer per 1000 (from 115 fewer to 206 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Depression outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut off e.g. &gt;7 on HAM-D) - End of treatment</b>											
6	randomised trial	serious <sup>6</sup>	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>5</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	611/1174	763/1174	RR 0.84 (0.73 to 0.96)	104 fewer per 1000 (from 26 fewer to 175 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW
<b>Depression outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut off e.g. &gt;7 on HAM-D) - End of treatment - papers with &gt;50% drop out removed</b>											
5	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	538/1096	689/1095	RR 0.81 (0.73 to 0.9)	120 fewer per 1000 (from 63 fewer to 170 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression outcome 3. Diagnosis (at follow up) - End of treatment</b>											
2	randomised	no serious	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious	serious <sup>2,7</sup>	none	76/163	97/158	RR 0.77	141 fewer per	⊕⊕○○

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

	trial	limitations		indirectness					(0.54 to 1.1)	1000 (from 282 fewer to 61 more)	LOW
<b>Depression outcome 4. Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score) - End of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
10	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	1001	968	-	SMD -0.31 (-0.4 to -0.22)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Physical health outcome: 2. Pain intensity (Brief pain inventory, author defined scale) - End of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>7</sup>	none	725	693	-	SMD -0.15 (-0.25 to -0.04)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Physical health outcome/ QoL: 1. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (SF-12 physical etc) - End of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
5	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	941	915	-	SMD -0.26 (-0.35 to -0.17)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Physical health outcome/ QoL: 2. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (Change scores) - End of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
6	randomised trial	serious <sup>6</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	566	584	-	SMD -0.12 (-0.24 to -0.01)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Quality of Life 1. General QoL scales ( Euroqol, 0-10 rating scale etc.) - End of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>7</sup>	none	484	480	-	SMD -0.14 (-0.27 to -0.01)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Quality of Life 1. General QoL scales ( Euroqol, 0-10 rating scale etc.) - Change score (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2,7</sup>	none	146	189	-	SMD -0.08 (-0.29 to 0.14)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Service use/ Process of care: 1. Did not receive a consultation/ specified number of mental health visits - End of treatment</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>4</sup>	serious <sup>5</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	239/428	257/405	RR 0.83 (0.67 to 1.02)	108 fewer per 1000 (from 210 fewer to 13 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW
<b>Service use / Process of care (did not receive psychosocial or pharmacological interventions) - End of treatment</b>											
5	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>5</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	250/921	485/886	RR 0.5 (0.37 to 0.69)	273 fewer per 1000 (from 170 fewer to 345 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

fewer)											
<b>Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason - End of treatment</b>											
11	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	369/1875	383/1867	RR 0.96 (0.85 to 1.08)	8 fewer per 1000 (from 31 fewer to 16 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW
<b>Satisfaction with service - not satisfied with treatment/care - End of treatment</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>8</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	159/403	223/442	RR 0.78 (0.67 to 0.91)	111 fewer per 1000 (from 45 fewer to 167 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE

- <sup>1</sup> 2 trials are pre-planned sub-group analyses of a larger RCT
- <sup>2</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit
- <sup>3</sup> 3 trials with >50% drop out not accounted for in the analysis
- <sup>4</sup> I-squared >50%
- <sup>5</sup> 2 trials did not recruit specifically for comorbid chronic physical health problems
- <sup>6</sup> 1 trial with >50% drop out not accounted for in the analysis
- <sup>7</sup> Sparse data
- <sup>8</sup> 1 trial did not recruit specifically for comorbid chronic physical health problem

Psychiatric liaison vs. standard care

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				Quality
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		
							Psychiatric consultation-liaison	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Mortality</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1,2</sup>	none	22/331	19/338	RR 1.18 (0.65 to 2.14)	10 more per 1000 (from 20 fewer to 64)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

										more)	
<b>Depression outcome 1. Diagnosis (at follow up)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1,2</sup>	none	245/331	245/338	RR 1.02 (0.93 to 1.12)	14 more per 1000 (from 51 fewer to 87 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Physical health outcome/ QoL: 1. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (SF-12 physical etc) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1,2</sup>	none	213	237	-	SMD -0.06 (-0.25 to 0.12)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1,2</sup>	none	57/331	40/338	RR 1.46 (1 to 2.12)	54 more per 1000 (from 0 more to 132 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> Sparse data

<sup>2</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

Multidisciplinary teams vs. standard care

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							Multidisciplinary secondary mental health care teams	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Mortality</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	Serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	4/33	3/36	RR 1.45 (0.35 to	37 more per 1000 (from 54	⊕⊕OO LOW

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

									6.02)	fewer to 417 more)	
<b>Depression outcome 1. Diagnosis (at follow up)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	14/33	25/36	RR 0.61 (0.39 to 0.96)	271 fewer per 1000 (from 28 fewer to 423 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Depression outcome 2. Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	33	36	-	SMD -1.03 (-1.53 to -0.52)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	4/33	4/36	RR 1.09 (0.3 to 4.01)	10 more per 1000 (from 78 fewer to 334 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW

<sup>1</sup> Participants not specifically recruited for a comorbid physical health problem

<sup>2</sup> Sparse data

<sup>3</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

Psychosocial interventions

Physical activity versus standard care

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							physical activity	standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	220	141	-	SMD -0.58 (-1.2 to 0.05)	⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Depression (Change score) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	83	81	-	SMD -0.29 (-0.6 to 0.03)	⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Non remission (below cut off)</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	17/67	29/72	RR 0.64 (0.31 to 1.3)	145 fewer per 1000 (from 278 fewer to 121 more)	⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Non remission (6-month follow-up)</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	11/63	27/62	RR 0.4 (0.23 to 0.69)	261 fewer per 1000 (from 135 fewer to 335 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE
<b>Quality of life (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	220	141	-	SMD -0.62 (-1.28 to 0.03)	⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Physical health outcomes (end of treatment) - Resting HR (beats/min) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	16	10	-	SMD -0.58 (-1.39 to 0.23)	⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> I squared > 50%

<sup>2</sup> Population just below cut-off for depression (for some studies)

<sup>3</sup> Sparse data

Peer (self-help) support

Quality assessment							Summary of findings			
							No of patients		Effect	
No of	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other	peer (self-	standard	Relative	Absolute

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

studies						considerations	help) support	care	(95% CI)		
<b>CES-D (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	89	102	-	SMD -0.32 (-0.62 to -0.03)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>CES-D (6 month follow-up) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	94	108	-	SMD -0.19 (-0.74 to 0.37)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Physical Health Outcomes: HIV-1 RNA viral load - End of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	63	60	-	SMD 0.26 (-0.09 to 0.62)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Physical Health Outcomes: HIV-1 RNA viral load - 3-month follow-up (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	62	56	-	SMD 0.17 (-0.2 to 0.53)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> I squared > 50%

<sup>2</sup> Sparse data

<sup>3</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

Peer (self-help) support versus group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							peer (self-help) support	group-based CBT intervention	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											



Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	35	54	-	SMD -0.23 (-0.66 to 0.20)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Depression (6 month follow-up) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	38	54	-	SMD -0.34 (-0.76 to 0.08)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> I squared > 50%

<sup>2</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>3</sup> Sparse data

Self-help intervention based on cognitive and behavioural principles versus standard care

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							CBT based self-help intervention	standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression outcome (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	47	56	-	SMD -0.4 (-0.79 to 0)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Physical health outcome - Visual Functioning Questionnaire (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	12	20	-	MD -7.45 (-18.58 to 3.68)	⊕⊕OO LOW

<sup>1</sup> Only looked at sub-group of depression (in one study) original sample not stratified for depression

<sup>2</sup> Sparse data

Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care

Quality assessment						Summary of findings			
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Quality
							Effect	Effect	

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Individually based CBT	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
4	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	177	161	-	SMD -0.55 (-0.97 to -0.13)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Non-remission (below cut-off)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	5/33	8/33	RR 0.63 (0.23 to 1.71)	92 fewer per 1000 (from 186 fewer to 172 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Depression (f6-month follow-up) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	122	111	-	SMD -0.07 (-0.33 to 0.18)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>QoL (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	21	16	-	SMD 0.00 (-0.65 to 0.65)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Physical health outcome - CD4 cell count (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	21	16	-	SMD -0.09 (-0.74 to 0.56)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> I squared = 56.4%

<sup>2</sup> Sparse data

Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							individually based CBT	counselling	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

<b>Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	182	182	-	SMD -0.23 (-0.62 to 0.17)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Depression (end of treatment) - change score (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	20	20	-	SMD 0.30 (-0.32 to 0.92)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Physical health - CD4 Cell Count (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	13	13	-	SMD -0.34 (-0.44 to 1.11)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>2</sup> Sparse data

Group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
							No of patients		Effect		Quality
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	group-based CBT	standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
9	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	reporting bias <sup>1</sup>	305	275	-	SMD -0.54 (-0.86 to -0.21)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Depression (follow-up - more than 6-months) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	149	113	-	SMD -0.17 (-0.42 to 0.07)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Non remission (below cut off)</b>											

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	8/25	21/27	RR 0.41 (0.22 to 0.75)	459 fewer per 1000 (from 195 fewer to 607 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Non response (&lt;50% reduction from baseline)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	9/25	19/27	RR 0.51 (0.29 to 0.91)	345 fewer per 1000 (from 63 fewer to 500 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>QoL - SF-30 (end of treatment) - Physical (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	20	28	-	SMD -0.28 (-0.86 to 0.29)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> Possible publication bias

<sup>2</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>3</sup> Sparse data

Group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus other psychosocial interventions (peer support or health education)

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							Group-based CBT	Other psychosocial interventions	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
5	randomised	no serious	no serious	no serious	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	257	209	-	SMD 0.09 (-0.09	⊕⊕⊕O

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

	trial	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness						to 0.28)	MODERATE
<b>Depression (follow-up - less than 6-months) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
4	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	188	132	-	SMD 0.15 (-0.08 to 0.37)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> Compatible with benefit and no benefit

Group existential therapy versus standard care

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							group existential therapy	standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Depression - BDI-21 (end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	40	33	-	SMD 0.03 (-0.43 to 0.49)	⊕⊕⊕O LOW
<b>Depression - HADS (change score - end of treatment) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	15	15	-	SMD -0.42 (-1.14 to 0.31)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Non-remission (still meeting diagnosis of depression) - end of treatment</b>											
1	randomised trial	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2,3</sup>	none	13/34	12/20	RR 0.64 (0.37 to 1.11)	216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66 more)	⊕⊕⊕O LOW

<sup>1</sup> Subthreshold depression

<sup>2</sup> Sparse data

<sup>3</sup> Effect compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>4</sup> Outcomes reported for a subgroup

Pharmacological Interventions

SSRI vs Placebo

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							SSRIs	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Leaving the study early: Any reason</b>											
25	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	341/1608 (21.2%)	292/1529 (19.1%)	RR 1.1 (0.96 to 1.27)	19 more per 1000 (from 8 fewer to 50 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Leaving the Study early: Lack of efficacy</b>											
4	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	4/178 (2.2%)	11/180 (4.7%)	RR 0.43 (0.16 to 1.16)	25 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Leaving the Study early: Due to adverse events</b>											
11	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	55/841 (6.5%)	27/820 (3.3%)	RR 1.89 (1.23 to 2.89)	27 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Depression: 1. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) - observer rated</b>											
14	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	355/633 (56.1%)	394/564 (69.9%)	RR 0.81 (0.74 to 0.88)	140 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

<b>Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - patient rated e.g. HADS, BDI</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	65/139 (46.8%)	85/140 (66.7%)	RR 0.73 (0.44 to 1.22)	180 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS</b>											
17	randomised trial	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	328/677 (48.4%)	402/656 (61.3%)	RR 0.83 (0.71 to 0.97)	109 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures (Better indicated by less)</b>											
13	randomised trial	serious	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	468	455	-	SMD -0.17 (-0.3 to -0.04)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures (Better indicated by less)</b>											
25	randomised trial	serious	serious	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	1086	1030	-	SMD -0.33 (-0.47 to -0.19)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW
<b>QoL: 1. continuous measures e.g. SQOL, FACT-G (Better indicated by less)</b>											
7	randomised trial	serious	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	263	261	-	SMD -0.27 (-0.44 to -0.1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE
<b>Physical outcome / QoL - General physical functioning/ wellbeing (SF-36 physical component) (Better indicated by less)</b>											
5	randomised trial	serious	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	168	170	-	SMD 0.02 (-0.19 to 0.23)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> some studies did not clearly report whether double blinded

<sup>2</sup> CIs compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>3</sup> I-squared >50%

TCA vs Placebo

Quality assessment							Summary of findings			
							No of patients		Effect	
No of	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other	TCAs	Placebo	Relative	Absolute

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

studies						considerations			(95% CI)		
<b>Leaving the study early: Any reason</b>											
6	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	40/150 (26.7%)	31/152 (20.4%)	RR 1.33 (0.88 to 2.01)	108 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Leaving due to adverse events</b>											
5	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	23/118 (19.5%)	12/121 (9.9%)	RR 2.00 (1.06 to 3.78)	111 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Depression: 1. Non-response (&lt;50% improvement) - observer rated</b>											
5	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	45/112 (38.9%)	84/112 (76.5%)	RR 0.53 (0.41 to 0.68)	374 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Depression: 2. Non-remission - patient rated</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious <sup>1,2</sup>	none	11/35 (31.4%)	17/40 (47.5%)	RR 0.71 (0.4 to 1.29)	137 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕○○ LOW
<b>Depression: 3. Continuous measures (Change score) - patient rated</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	25	27	-	SMD -0.58 (-1.14 to -0.02)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 3. Continuous measures (Change score) - observer rated</b>											
8	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	151	173	-	SMD -0.69 (-0.92 to -0.47)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH

<sup>1</sup> CIs compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>2</sup> two small studies

Mianserin vs Placebo

Quality assessment	Summary of findings
--------------------	---------------------



Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		Quality
							Mianserin	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Leaving the Study early: Any reason - At end of treatment - Cancer</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	13/64 (20.3%)	30/64 (48.1%)	RR 0.43 (0.25 to 0.75)	274 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Leaving the study early due to lack of efficacy - At end of treatment - Cancer</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	2/64 (3.1%)	13/64 (23.1%)	RR 0.18 (0.05 to 0.65)	189 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Leaving the Study early: Due to adverse events - At end of treatment - Cancer</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	2/28 (7.1%)	4/27 (14.8%)	RR 0.48 (0.1 to 2.42)	76 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS - At end of treatment - Cancer</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	17/64 (26.6%)	36/64 (57.2%)	RR 0.47 (0.3 to 0.74)	303 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures (Better indicated by less)</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	36	37	-	SMD -0.5 (-0.96 to -0.03)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures - Mean Change - Cancer (Better indicated by less)</b>											
3	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious <sup>4</sup>	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	75	75	-	SMD -0.52 (-0.85 to -0.2)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> clear heterogeneity by visual inspection

<sup>2</sup> 2 small studies

<sup>3</sup> 1 small study

<sup>4</sup> No explanation was provided

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

SSRIs vs TCAs

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				Quality
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	No of patients		Effect		
							Head-to-head SSRI	TCA	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	
<b>Leaving the study early - any reason</b>											
10	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	68/355 (19.2%)	84/344 (24.4%)	RR 0.77 (0.58 to 1.01)	83 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
<b>Leaving study early due to adverse events</b>											
8	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	30/228 (13.2%)	34/213 (16%)	RR 0.81 (0.52 to 1.27)	55 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Leaving study early due to adverse cardiac events</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	1/41 (2.4%)	7/40 (17.5%)	RR 0.14 (0.02 to 1.08)	150 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Leaving the study early: Due to lack of efficacy - At end of treatment</b>											
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	2/13 (15.4%)	2/11 (18.2%)	RR 0.85 (0.14 to 5.06)	27 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 1. Remission (below cut-off)</b>											
5	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	43/87 (48.6%)	34/83 (46%)	RR 1.22 (0.88 to 1.67)	73 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
<b>Depression: 2. Non-response (&lt;50% reduction)</b>											
8	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>1</sup>	none	150/311	155/314	RR 0.97	49 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕○

Appendix 21: Full GRADE evidence profiles

	trial	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness			(48.2%)	(49.4%)	(0.83 to 1.14)	1,000	MODERATE
<b>Depression: 3. Continuous measures - observer rated scales (Better indicated by less)</b>											
9	randomised trial	no serious limitations	serious	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	241	230	-	SMD 0.04 (-0.14 to 0.22)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
<b>Physical health outcome: 1. MMSE - PD - At end of treatment (Better indicated by less)</b>											
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious	none	26	24	-	MD -0.11 (-1.34 to 1.12)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> CIs compatible with benefit and no benefit

<sup>2</sup> Just one study